

Feb 12, 2023

② Zebrafish larvae dissociation for FACs sorting cells expressing fluorescent proteins

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.yxmvmk819g3p/v1

Abigail Elliot¹, Yi Feng¹

¹Centre for Inflammatin Research, Institute for tissue repair and regeneration, Edinburgh Bioquarter, University of Edinburgh



Yi Feng

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account





DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.yxmvmk819g3p/v1

Protocol Citation: Abigail Elliot, Yi Feng 2023. Zebrafish larvae dissociation for FACs sorting cells expressing fluorescent proteins. **protocols.io** https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.yxmvmk819g3p/v1

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited



Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working. We have compared this protocol with mechanical dounce-homogenisation and the GentleMACSTM machine, and neither of these could out compete our protocol. We typically obtain single cell suspension with around 95% viable cells, and we routinely recover expected number of target cells if the protocol is performed by an experienced researcher.

Created: October 26, 2021

Last Modified: February 12, 2023

Protocol Integer ID: 54560

Keywords: single cell suspension for fac sorting cell, zebrafish larvae dissociation for fac, fluorescent proteins from zebrafish larvae, fac sorting cell, downstream single cell rna, single cells suspension, zebrafish larvae dissociation, single cell suspension, single cells suspension with maximum number, tissue specific expressing of fluorescent protein, sorting cell, zebrafish larvae, fluorescent proteins large number of transgenic line, viable target cell, fluorescent protein, expressing fluorescent protein, cell, viable cell, zebrafish field, sequencing analysis, cell recovery, isolating cell tpe, protocol for larvae, highest number of cell recovery, cell tpe of interest, available in the zebrafish field, rna, larvae, gene expression analysis, collagenase iv, sorting experiment

Funders Acknowledgements:

Wellcome Trust

Grant ID: 100104/Z/12/Z Cancer Research UK Grant ID: C38363/A26931 Medical Research Council Grant ID: MR/N013166/1

Disclaimer

DISCLAIMER - FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY; USE AT YOUR OWN RISK

The protocol content here is for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal, medical, clinical, or safety advice, or otherwise; content added to <u>protocols.io</u> is not peer reviewed and may not have undergone a formal approval of any kind. Information presented in this protocol should not substitute for independent professional judgment, advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Any action you take or refrain from taking using or relying upon the information presented here is strictly at your own risk. You agree that neither the Company nor any of the authors, contributors, administrators, or anyone else associated with <u>protocols.io</u>, can be held responsible for your use of the information contained in or linked to this protocol or any of our Sites/Apps and Services.



Abstract

Large number of transgenic lines with cell/tissue specific expressing of fluorescent proteins are available in the zebrafish field. These tools provide an opportunity for isolating cell tpe of interest for gene expression analysis. Key to success for a FAC sorting experiment is to generate single cells suspension with maximum number of viable target cells preserved. Here we describe the protocol that we optimized in the lab for generate single cell suspension for FAC sorting cells expressing fluorescent proteins from zebrafish larvae. We used a combination of enzyme digestion (collagenase IV) and mechanical dissociation (rapid pipetting) to generate single cell suspension, which achieved the highest number of cell recovery and viable cells. We have used this protocol for larvae at 3, 4, 5 dpf and for downstream single cell RNA sequencing and RNA sequencing analysis.

Materials

Media A:

HBSS (no mag, no cal, plus phenol red)

HEPES 15mM [M] 15 millimolar (mM)

D-Glucose 25mM [M] 25 millimolar (mM)

2% Sterile Goat Serum [м] 2 % volume

Dissociation Solution:

Media A

100ul Collagenase (from 250 mg/ml stock) Δ 100 μL from 250mg/ml stock


40um filter

Note

NB: Especially for the study of immune cells, use culture grade reagents and prepare solutions fresh in laminar flow hood.

Troubleshooting







Note

Do not exceed 500g since this may impact cell viability. Some cell types are more sensitive to centrifugation than others

8 Resuspend in Media A, 500ul / 50 larvae

5m

9 Filter through 40um filter, into FACS tube

10m

10 FACs collect cells in collect live cells in Media A + 10% Goat Serum

Note

Notes for 10X

After FACS sorting and prior to loading cells onto the 10X Chromium machine, one or preferably 2 wash steps (centrifuge @500g, 5 mins) are required to reduce contaminants and ambient RNA (released from dead/dying cells). The cell density should then be quantified using a cell counter such that an appropriate number of cells can be loaded.

The "optimal" situation according to the 10X user guide would be to FACS sort 100,000 cells, wash twice, and load 7,000 cells. However, this is often not possible due to limited cell numbers. In this case, FACS sorting 50,000 cells and washing once should allow the loading of 7,000 cells. The disadvantage of washing the cells only once is that this might lead to a higher amount of ambient RNA captured in the 10X droplets, but if this is the case there are computational tools that can detect and subtract ambient RNA (e.g. SoupX) from your samples during analysis and I have had success with this approach.

In my own experiments, using 120-150 whole larvae (3dpf-5dpf) I sorted 60,000 cells (50,000 macrophages +10,000 keratinocytes) and was able to comfortably load 7,000 cells. I think you can get more macrophages out than that (possibly 70,000), the keratinocytes were the most limiting factor for me



Note

On cell viability:

I use DAPI staining to measure cell viability during flow cytometry of live cells. In my hands, this protocol always gives >90% viability for macrophages. Remember that cells may die on their way through the sorter or whilst hanging about in the tube. When FACS sorting the first time or attempting to sort a new cell type I would recommend testing the post-sorting viability. There are a few ways to test post-sorting viability but I've found the best way is to run a small amount of sample back through the flow cytometer (in my case the % viable macrophages only fell by a couple of percent, demonstrating that they remain viable after sorting). I'd highly recommend doing a dry run of the sample preparation before attempting the actual sequencing experiment, and that is a good opportunity at which to check post-sort viability.