OCT 24, 2017

OPEN ACCESS

DOI:
[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kepctdn](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kepctdn)

External link:
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190163>

Protocol Citation: Daniel Horn, Hubert János Kiss 2017. Which preferences associate with school performance? – Lessons from a university exploratory study. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kepctdn>

MANUSCRIPT CITATION: Horn D, Kiss HJ (2018) Which preferences associate with school performance?—Lessons from an exploratory study with university students. PLoS ONE 13(2): e0190163. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0190163](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190163)

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

Which preferences associate with school performance? – Lessons from a university exploratory study

PLOS One

Daniel Horn¹, Hubert János Kiss¹

¹MTA KRTK KTI & ELTE

Hubert János Kiss

ABSTRACT

We attempt to link laboratory-based measures of preferences with measures of school performance. We measured in an incentivized way risk, time, social and competitive preferences and also cognitive abilities of university students and look for associations between these measures and two important academic outcome measures: exam results and GPA.

We find consistently that cognitive abilities (proxied by the Cognitive Reflection Test (Frederick 2005)) are very well correlated with school performance. Regarding non-cognitive skills, we find suggestive evidence for many of our measured preferences. We used two alternative measures of time preference: patience and present bias. Present bias explains exam grades relatively better, while patience is better explaining GPA. Both measures of time preferences have a non-linear relation to school performance. Competitiveness matters, as students, who opt for a more competitive payment scheme in our experiments have a higher average GPA and better exam grades. We observe also that risk-averse students perform a little better than risk-loving students. That makes sense in case of multiple choice exams, because risk-loving students may want to try to pass the exam less prepared, as the possibility of passing an exam just by chance is not zero. Finally, we have also detected that cooperative preferences – the amount of money offered in a public good game – associates strongly with GPA, but in a non-linear way. Students who offered around half of their possible amounts had significantly higher GPAs than those, who offered none or all their money.

Keywords: *competitive preferences, experiment, non-cognitive skills, risk preferences, school performance, social preferences, time preferences.*

JEL codes: C91; D91; I20

ATTACHMENTS

[Instructions.docx](#)

Created: Oct 23, 2017

Last Modified: Feb 17, 2018

PROTOCOL integer ID:
8367

Keywords: Keywords:
competitive preferences,
experiment, non-cognitive
skills, risk preferences,
school performance, social
preferences, time
preferences

1