VERSION 1

JUL 20, 2019

OPEN ACCESS

DOI:

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.3m2gk8e](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.3m2gk8e)

External link:

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220006>

Protocol Citation: Kei Suzuki, Shinji Kusunoki, Takuma Sadamori, Yuko Tanabe, Junji Itai, Nobuaki Shime 2019. The protocol for the mannequin crossover trial entitled "Comparison of video and conventional laryngoscopes for simulated difficult emergency tracheal intubations in presence of liquids in the airway".

protocols.io

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.3m2gk8e>

MANUSCRIPT CITATION:

Suzuki K, Kusunoki S, Sadamori T, Tanabe Y, Itai J, Shime N (2019) Comparison of video and conventional laryngoscopes for simulated difficult emergency tracheal intubations in the presence of liquids in the airway. PLoS ONE 14(7): e0220006. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0220006](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220006)

The protocol for the mannequin crossover trial entitled "Comparison of video and conventional laryngoscopes for simulated difficult emergency tracheal intubations in presence of liquids in the airway" V.1

PLOS One

Kei Suzuki¹, Shinji Kusunoki², Takuma Sadamori¹, Yuko Tanabe¹, Junji Itai¹, Nobuaki Shime¹

¹Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan;

²Critical Care Medical Center, Hiroshima Prefectural Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan

Kei Suzuki

ABSTRACT

The objective of this protocol was to compare the Airway ScopeTM(AWS) and the Macintosh laryngoscope (ML) for their performance in tracheal intubations (TIs) performed by emergency medical technicians (EMTs) using mannequin models with liquids in the airway. Rice gruel and mock blood were used to fill the upper airways of mannequins to create mock vomit and hematemesis models, respectively. TIs were performed by certified EMTs after visualizing the glottis using an AWS with an 18-Fr suction catheter and a ML with an 18-Fr suction catheter. TIs with AWS and ML were performed in random order in a comparative crossover trial. The TI success rate was evaluated based on the following: (a) the time taken from laryngoscope insertion into the oral cavity to glottis visualization, tracheal tube passage through the glottis, until the initiation of ventilation and (b) the subjective level of difficulty, which was assessed using a visual analog scale (VAS).

ATTACHMENTS

[Figure1_r2.pptx](#)

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

Created: Jun 03, 2019

Last Modified: Jul 20, 2019

PROTOCOL integer ID:
23962

Keywords: airway scope,
video-laryngoscope,
prehospital care

- 1 Ethics Approval: This study was approved by the Ethics committee of the Hiroshima University (E-115, E-609). Written consent to participate in the study was obtained from all EMT participants. Data were collected from EMTs who were qualified and certified to perform TIs with ML and AWS in patients with cardiac arrest.
- 2 To reproduce scenarios of presenting of liquids in the airway, vomit and hematemesis mannequin models were created. The Airway Management Trainer (Laerdal®, Stavanger, Norway) was used as the mannequin. Commercially available rice gruel was used as mock vomit, and mock blood used in venous route puncture training was used to simulate hematemesis. The vomit and hematemesis models were created by clamping a mannequin's trachea and esophagus and then filling the oral cavity up to the incisors with mock vomit or blood.
- 3 During the training session, the EMTs received three hours of practical and oral instructions from four specialists in emergency care and anesthesiology on performing TIs with AWS on a regular mannequin, followed by one hour of practical and oral instructions on performing TIs with AWS and ML in a variety of airway obstruction scenarios, including vomiting and hematemesis. Finally, they used an AWS and ML to perform one TI on the vomit or hematemesis mannequin model.

A) PENTAX-AWS +
18Fr suction catheter
inserted via
the tracheal tube

B) ML +
18Fr suction catheter

Figure 1. Two methods of tracheal
intubation and suctioning

Figure 1. Two methods of tracheal intubation and suctioning

Figure 2. The suction catheter extended 1 cm deeper than the distal end of the tracheal tube.

For TIs with an AWS (AWS-S100, Nihon Kohden, Japan), an 18-Fr suction catheter (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) was first inserted into the tracheal tube (TT) (internal diameter 7.0 mm, Portex®, Smiths Medical, Minneapolis, MN, U.S.A.) attached to the guiding groove of the AWS blade (PBLADE®, Nihon Kohden, Japan) (Figure 1A). The catheter extended 1 cm deeper than the distal end of the TT (Figure 2). With the suction catheter already connected to the suction device, the AWS was inserted into the oral cavity. The foreign material in the airway was suctioned until the glottis was visualized, and then TI was performed. For TIs with the ML (conventional Macintosh-type blade without external output function, Karl Storz SE & Co., Tuttlingen, Germany), the larynx was exposed using the ML, and the foreign material was suctioned using a suction catheter (18 Fr) (Figure 1B), and the TI was performed after glottis visualization. An assistant EMT aided the primary EMT with the procedure. The suction pressure was set to 20 kPa.

- 5** The TI was considered to have failed when the laryngoscope was removed from the oral cavity before completing the TI or when the procedure took ≥ 120 s. Time from the moment of insertion of the laryngoscope into the oral cavity until the completion of suctioning, glottis visualization, tube passage through the glottis, and initiation of bag-valve-mask ventilation were evaluated. Each EMT was required to announce orally when he/she had completed each of the above steps of the TI. The TIs were performed by the EMTs using the AWS and ML in random order to conduct a crossover trial using both methods. All the TIs were video recorded, and emergency care physicians who were not involved in the training sessions used these videos to extract data. A visual analog scale (VAS, 0 mm = very easy, 100 mm = very difficult) was used to subjectively evaluate the level of difficulty in performing the TI with the AWS and ML in both scenarios of presenting liquids in the airway. Data on the EMTs included their age, experience as a TI-certified EMT, and the number of TIs performed with the ML.
- 6** Sample size and Statistical analysis: A sample size of 25 was estimated as necessary to compare the two laryngoscopes with an initial pass success rate difference of 30%, an α error of 0.05, and a β error of 0.2. The endpoints were TI success rate, the time required for the TI, and difficulty assessed using a VAS. The results were expressed as median values (interquartile range). The TI times and VAS were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. All analyses were performed using SPSS 23 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was accepted at $P < 0.05$.