

Jun 12, 2023

Systematic review of user experience and trust improvement evaluations on healthcare oriented explainable artificial intelligence

DOI

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bp2l69omzlqe/v1>

Samantha Cramer¹, Elina Gastreich-de-Llanes¹, Jonas Bäcker¹, Robin Manz¹, Philip Meyer¹, Dominik Müller^{1,2}, Ludwig Christian Hinske¹, Johannes Raffler¹, Iñaki Soto-Rey¹

¹Digital Medicine, University Hospital Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany;

²IT-Infrastructure for Translational Medical Research, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany



Samantha Cramer

Medical Data Integration Center, Institute for Digital Medic...

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bp2l69omzlqe/v1>

Protocol Citation: Samantha Cramer, Elina Gastreich-de-Llanes, Jonas Bäcker, Robin Manz, Philip Meyer, Dominik Müller, Ludwig Christian Hinske, Johannes Raffler, Iñaki Soto-Rey 2023. Systematic review of user experience and trust improvement evaluations on healthcare oriented explainable artificial intelligence. **protocols.io**

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bp2l69omzlqe/v1>



License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **[Creative Commons Attribution License](#)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: May 31, 2023

Last Modified: June 12, 2023

Protocol Integer ID: 82696

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Usability Testing, Trust, Explainable Artificial Intelligence, XAI, AI, explainable ai in term, explainable ai, explainable artificial intelligence many different ai system, field of explainable ai, oriented explainable artificial intelligence, trust improvement evaluations on healthcare, various ai, technical side that the ai structure, systematic review of user experience, many different ai system, ai structure, trust improvement evaluation, user satisfaction, healthcare, systematic review, user experience, guideline

Funders Acknowledgements:

Medical Faculty of University Augsburg

Abstract

Many different AI systems have been developed in recent years. The field of explainable AI is designed to shed light on the black box modles of the various AIs. However, the explainable AI is rarely developed in relation to the needs of the users, but is based on the technical side that the AI structure provides. Our goal is to explore the various scientific researches that have been conducted on explainable AI in terms of user satisfaction. This goal is to be achieved through a systematic review based on the PRISMA guidelines.

Expected result

Our hypothesis is that XAI is rarely evaluated based on usability/user experience but mostly based on its functionality. Furthermore, we aim to find the most used methods for usability/user experience evaluations.

Guidelines

PRISMA guidlines.



PRISMA_2020_checklist.pdf



PRISMA_2020_flow_diagram_new_...

Before start

Before starting the Systematic Review we studied the PRISMA guidelines, in order to proceed and complete the Systematic Review according to these guidelines.

Background

- 1 The reviews subjective is to identify the amount of evaluations done on the usability, user satisfaction, user experience and trust of XAI. The domain of interest is the medical field.

Methodology - Literature Search

- 2 General search query: ("XAI" OR "X-AI" OR ("Explainable" AND "AI") OR ("Explainable" AND "artificial intelligence")) AND ("Usability" OR "satisfaction" OR "experience" OR "trust") AND ("Evaluation")

Search restrictions: publication between 01.01.2017-31.12.2022

- 3 Data extraction from the following data bases on 02.05.2023, with the following results:

3.1 PubMed:

Query: ("XAI" OR "X-AI" OR ("Explainable" AND "AI") OR ("Explainable" AND "artificial intelligence")) AND ("Usability" OR "satisfaction" OR "experience" OR "trust") AND "Evaluation"

Formats saved: PubMed

Results: 17

3.2 PubMed Central (PMC):

Query: ("XAI" OR "X-AI" OR ("Explainable" AND "AI") OR ("Explainable" AND "artificial intelligence")) AND ("Usability" OR "satisfaction" OR "experience" OR "trust") AND "Evaluation"

Formats saved: MEDLINE

Results: 2996

3.3 Science Direct:

Query: ("XAI" OR "X-AI" OR ("Explainable AI") OR ("Explainable artificial intelligence")) AND ("Usability" OR "satisfaction" OR "experience" OR "trust") AND ("Evaluation")

Formats saved: RIS

Results: 1296

3.4 Google Scholar:

Website:

using the Website for a quick overview and the Query: find article... with all words:

"Evaluation" ; ...and one of the words: "XAI" "X AI" "Explainable AI" "Explainable artificial intelligence"

Words contained in Title.

Results: 83



Problem: articles can't be extracted from google scholar, therefor the Literature Search for this databank was done using the following software:

Software

Harzing's Publish or Perish

NAME

Tarma Software Research Ltd

DEVELOPER

<https://harzing.com/resources/publish-or-perish/>

SOURCE LINK

Due to Publish and Perish set up extraction of max. 1000 results possible.

Publish or Perish search:

Query in Title words: ("XAI" OR "X-AI" OR "Explainable AI" OR "Explainable artificial intelligence") AND ("Evaluation")

Citation records included

Result: 80 records

Formats saved: csv ; bibtex

filter out the results included in the last part of the query: AND ("Usability" OR "satisfaction" OR "experience" OR "trust") by looking for the keywords in the .csv file

Results: 6

- 4 Extracted Literature was imported into Civati (version 6), excluding Duplicates during import process.

Software

Citati

NAME

Swiss Academic Software GmbH

DEVELOPER

<https://www.citati.com/de>

SOURCE LINK

- 5 Fulltexts were collected for results imported into Citavi, using the "find Fulltext"-function of Citavi as well as manual searches in the web. The searches resulted in:
- 3869 results including fulltext
 - 395 no fulltext

Methodology - Screening

- 6 Inclusion:
- health care information systems
 - scientific evaluation in terms of usability, user satisfaction, user experience or trust and XAI
 - scientific publication (poster/abstract/article/book)

Exclusion:

- non health care publications
- non english publications
- non scientific publications

- 7 The screening process was done individually by two separate reviewers. Individual screening results were then compared.

Disclaimer: The results are at risk of bias due to the subjective opinion of the reviewers, which we aim to avoid by reviewing the papers individually. Furthermore, risk of bias exists, due to the fact we might not find all fitting publications with our search query, even though we have developed it to the best of our knowledge.

Protocol references

Prisma Flowchart: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/PRISMAStatement/FlowDiagram.aspx?AspxAutoDetectCookieSupport=1>

Databases:

[Google Scholar](#) ; [ScienceDirect](#) ; [PMC](#) ; [PubMed](#)