

Aug 03, 2018 Version 1

## Standard method for microCT-based additive manufacturing quality control 5: witness specimen analysis V.1

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.sbzeap6

Anton Du Plessis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Stellenbosch



**Anton Du Plessis** 





DOI: dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.sbzeap6

External link: https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/3dp.2018.0060

**Protocol Citation:** Anton Du Plessis 2018. Standard method for microCT-based additive manufacturing quality control 5: witness specimen analysis. **protocols.io** <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.sbzeap6">https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.sbzeap6</a>

## Manuscript citation:

A du Plessis, I Yadroistava, SG le Roux, I Yadroitsev, 'X-ray microcomputed tomography in additive manufacturing: a review of the current technology and applications', 3D printing and additive manufacturing. http://doi.org/10.1089/3dp.2018.0060

**License:** This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: August 03, 2018

Last Modified: August 03, 2018

Protocol Integer ID: 14425

Keywords: x-ray tomography, microCT, additive manufacturing, quality control, witness specimen



## Abstract

MicroCT is a well known technique and is often used for non-destructive analysis of parts produced by additive manufacturing. This is especially useful to inspect the parts for potential defects such as excessive porosity or layered defects due to imperfect powder levelling in individual layers. While various online monitoring methods are under development, there is currently not yet any accepted method to identify such defects during the building process. Post-production microCT of the entire part allows for the identification of such errors, but its limitation is the resolution which depends on part size. Hence a large part may have small but important porosities which may be missed by microCT. This can be overcome by using a witness specimen built in the same process, and analysing this smaller sample at a high resolution. The porosity information from the smaller part can be used to evaluate the acceptability of the entire build. The workflow presented here serves to standardize this process: with a simple process, a standard geometry witness specimen and hence standardized scan and analysis procedures. A layered stop-start flaw is shown in the witness specimen to demonstrate the ability to detect this type of flaw.

## **Attachments**



