



Mar 06, 2023

Version 1

Sex Work and Sexual Violence Research Study Protocol 2022 V.1

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.eq2lynojevx9/v1

Scoular, Jane¹, Sanders, Teela², Abel, Gillian³, Brents, Barbara⁴, Ellison, Graham⁵, Susie Balderston¹, Marriott, Nigel⁶

¹University of Strathclyde; ²University of Leicester; ³University of Otago; ⁴University of Nevada Las Vegas;

⁵Queen's University, Belfast; ⁶Marriott Statistical Consulting



Susie Balderston

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.eq2lynojevx9/v1>

Protocol Citation: Scoular, Jane, Sanders, Teela, Abel, Gillian, Brents, Barbara, Ellison, Graham, Susie Balderston, Marriott, Nigel 2023. Sex Work and Sexual Violence Research Study Protocol 2022. **protocols.io**

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.eq2lynojevx9/v1>

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited



Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working. In production with PLOS ONE.

Created: August 03, 2022

Last Modified: March 06, 2023

Protocol Integer ID: 68123

Keywords: regarding sexual violence, sexual violence among various sex, sexual violence research study protocol, experiences of sexual violence, levels of sexual violence, sexual violence, justice for sex worker, important public health issue that sex worker, survey of sex worker, criminalisation, legalisation, partial criminalisation, sex workers by gender, sex worker, legal norms re consent, legal practice, legal norm, different legal environment, decriminalisation, msm sex worker, sex work, redress through the criminal justice system, reviews of legal case, sexual autonomy, violence, various criminal justice institution, consent, sexual autonomy in different model, sexual orientation, legal case, criminal justice system, various sex, police action, northern ireland, social research council, new zealand, law, relationship between legal consciousness, legal consciousness, sex market, jurisdiction

Disclaimer

DISCLAIMER – FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY; USE AT YOUR OWN RISK

The protocol content here is for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal, medical, clinical, or safety advice, or otherwise; content added to **protocols.io** is not peer reviewed and may not have undergone a formal approval of any kind. Information presented in this protocol should not substitute for independent professional judgment, advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Any action you take or refrain from taking using or relying upon the information presented here is strictly at your own risk. You agree that neither the Company nor any of the authors, contributors, administrators, or anyone else associated with **protocols.io**, can be held responsible for your use of the information contained in or linked to this protocol or any of our Sites/Apps and Services.

Abstract

Globally, the most important public health issue that sex workers face is their experience of high levels of violence (Kinnell 2006, 2008; Alexander, 1999) with a systematic review estimating levels of sexual violence as being between 15 and 55% (Deering et al, 2014).

The overarching question this study seeks to address is how social, legal, and judicial contexts shape the safety and well-being of people engaging in sex work and, in particular, how context shapes experiences of sexual violence. This mixed method research will compare four different legal environments: legalisation (Nevada USA), criminalisation (Northern Ireland), decriminalisation (New Zealand) and partial criminalisation (England and Scotland).

Interviews, a survey of sex workers and reviews of legal cases in each jurisdiction will generate empirical data from which to build theory as to:

- The relationship between legal consciousness, legal norms, and legal practices and experiences of sexual violence and sexual autonomy in different models of governance;
- How marginalised groups (sex workers) interpret the sexual violence they experience, their rights regarding sexual violence, how and whether they seek redress through the criminal justice system, and outcomes in different models of governance;
- How various criminal justice institutions respond to sexual violence among various sex-working populations in both theory (law and legal norms re consent) and practice (police actions, reporting systems, court dispensation) in different models of governance;
- Differences among groups of sex workers by gender, age, ethnicity, sexual orientation, citizenship, and sex market, especially for trans, MSM sex workers, and migrant communities;
- How researchers and expert advisors can work together in the research process to interpret findings and build theory, and empower expert advisors with evidence for better practices;
- Generate and disseminate evidence-based information on best practices to help provide justice for sex workers.

The research will have ethical approval from each research site, an Advisory Board and trained, paid peer researchers to assist with data gathering, analysis and dissemination. The study will report findings in 2023/2024. It is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council.

Troubleshooting

