AUG 24, 2017

OPEN ACCESS

DOI:
dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.imycc7w

External link:
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181518>

Protocol Citation: Denise Martineli Rossi¹, Cristiane Rodrigues Pedroni², Jaqueline Martins¹, Anamaria Siriani de Oliveira¹ 2017. Scapular dyskinesis assessment. **protocols.io**
<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.imycc7w>

MANUSCRIPT CITATION: Rossi DM, Pedroni CR, Martins J, Oliveira ASd (2017) Intrarater and interrater reliability of three classifications for scapular dyskinesis in athletes. PLoS ONE 12(7): e0181518. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0181518](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181518)

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

Scapular dyskinesis assessment

PLOS One

Denise Martineli Rossi¹, Cristiane Rodrigues Pedroni², Jaqueline Martins¹, Anamaria Siriani de Oliveira¹

¹ Department of Biomechanics, Medicine and Rehabilitation of Locomotor, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. ² Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, State University of São Paulo, Marília, São Paulo, Brazil.

Shoulder group. Univeristy of São Paulo

Denise Martineli Rossi
 Ribeirão Preto Medical School

ABSTRACT

Considering the potential lack of consensus regarding appropriate measure and reduced reliability values of scapular dyskinesis visual dynamic evaluation, this protocol includes the main three classification approaches by considering the methodological improvements suggested by the original authors.

BEFORE START INSTRUCTIONS

Table 1. Original operational definitions of the classification methods of scapular dyskinesis.

Created: Jun 26, 2017

Last Modified: Mar 25, 2018

PROTOCOL integer ID:
6552

Keywords: physiotherapy,
clinical measurement, scapula,
shoulder

			P r o m i n e n t i n f e r i o r m e d i a l s c a p u l a r b o r d e r a t r e s t a n d d u r i n g a r m m o t i o n
	4 - t y p e [1]	T y p e 1	

			Prominent irregular medial scapular border at rest and during inflammation
			Elevation of the superior border and anterior dis

placement of the scapula at rest and shoulders shrug without the occurrence of significant winging of the scapula at

		the beginning of the movement
		Both scapular are positioned symmetrically (the scapula of the dominant mem

ber may be a bit lower) at rest and turns symmetrically upwards with the medial border attached to the thorax during movement.

			e m e n t .
	Y e s / N o [2]	Y e s	T y p e s 1, 2, a n d 3: P a t t e r n s o f s c a p u l a r a s y m m e t r y
		N o	T y p e 4: S y m m e t r i c s c a p u l a r m o t i o n
			A p p a r e n t p r o m i n e

SDT-3

Obvious

nce of any portion of the medial border or inferior angle or dysrhythmia, or excessive or premature movement of the sc

			a population or lowering of the arm
		Subtle	Questionable evidence of abnormality, inconsistently present
			Absent

**N
o
r
m
a
l**

n
c
e
o
f
p
r
o
j
e
c
t
i
o
n
o
f
t
h
e
s
c
a
p
u
l
a,
a
n
d
u
p
p
e
r
a
n
d
l
o
w
e
r
r
o
t
a
t
i
o
n
s
a
r
e
s
m
o
o
t
h
a
n
d
c
o
n
t
i
n
u
o
u
s
d
u
r
i
n
g
e
l
e
v
a
t
i
o
n
a
n
d
l
o

			w er in g of th e ar m , re s p e ct iv el v
--	--	--	---

Abbreviation: SDT, scapular dyskinesis test.

1. Kibler WB, Uhl TL, Maddux JW, Brooks PV, Zeller B, McMullen J. Qualitative clinical evaluation of scapular dysfunction: a reliability study. *J Shoulder Elb Surg.* 2002;11: 550-556.
2. Uhl TL, Kibler WB, Gecewich B, Tripp BL. Evaluation of clinical assessment methods for scapular dyskinesis. *Arthroscopy.* 2009;25: 1240-1248.
3. McClure P, Tate AR, Kareha S, Irwin D, Zlupko E. A clinical method for identifying scapular dyskinesis, part 1: reliability. *J Athl Train.* 2009;44: 160-164.

Raters training

1

The physical therapists underwent a 9-h training session divided in three days.

On the first day, the raters separately studied the original descriptions and analyzed photographs of the three SD classifications by the authors, i.e. 4-type (Kibler et al., 2002), Yes/No (Uhl et al., 2009), and scapular dyskinesis test (McClure et al., 2009).

On the second day, both raters analyzed the videos presented in the McClure et al. (2009) study, performed the assessments without knowledge of the other outcomes, and compared and discussed the differences.

On the third day, the raters independently assessed eight asymptomatic volunteers, and results were subsequently compared and discussed.

Experimental procedure

2

During the assessment, the participants initially stood in a relaxed position with arms at the sides, elbows straight, and shoulder in neutral rotation. Subsequently, the participants were instructed to raise both arms above his or her head simultaneously as much as possible in a 2-s period, and then lower the arms for 2 s. The participants performed one series of 8–10 cycles (at least eight and maximum of ten) of elevation and lowering of the arms with weighted loads based on their

body mass. Participants who weighed <68.1 kg and ≥ 68.1 kg used 1.5-kg and 2.5-kg dumbbells, respectively.

The raters stood approximately 2 m behind the participants with freedom to move during the test to observe the scapula from any point in the posterior frontal and sagittal planes, without performing any other evaluation, such as palpation. The raters performed the assessment simultaneously, and independently, i.e., they did not communicate their results to each other at any time, and their recordings were obscured by using a folder that covered the notes from the view of each other.

Scapular dyskinesis classification

- 3 First, the raters defined the scapular dyskinesis on Yes/No classification. Second, they chose the altered specific scapula movement (Type 1, 2, or 3) if SD was classified as "Yes." Finally, the raters judged the degree of observed abnormal movements (obvious or subtle). If classified as "No," it was classified accordingly as Type 4 and normal for scapular dyskinesis test classification. Only one rater explained the test procedures to volunteers.