

Aug 24, 2017

Scapular dyskinesis assessment

 [PLOS One](#)

DOI

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.imycc7w](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.imycc7w)



Denise Martineli Rossi¹, Cristiane Rodrigues Pedroni², Jaqueline Martins¹, Anamaria Siriani de Oliveira¹

¹ Department of Biomechanics, Medicine and Rehabilitation of Locomotor, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. ² Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, State University of São Paulo, Marília, São Paulo, Brazil.

Shoulder group. Univeri...



Denise Martineli Rossi

Ribeirão Preto Medical School

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.imycc7w>

External link: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181518>



Protocol Citation: Denise Martineli Rossi¹, Cristiane Rodrigues Pedroni², Jaqueline Martins¹, Anamaria Siriani de Oliveira¹ 2017. Scapular dyskinesis assessment. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.imycc7w>

Manuscript citation:

Rossi DM, Pedroni CR, Martins J, Oliveira ASd (2017) Intrarater and interrater reliability of three classifications for scapular dyskinesis in athletes. PLoS ONE 12(7): e0181518. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0181518](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181518)

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **[Creative Commons Attribution License](#)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

Created: June 26, 2017

Last Modified: March 25, 2018

Protocol Integer ID: 6552

Keywords: physiotherapy, clinical measurement, scapula, shoulder, scapular dyskinesis assessment, reliability values of scapular dyskinesi, scapular dyskinesi, visual dynamic evaluation

Abstract

Considering the potential lack of consensus regarding appropriate measure and reduced reliability values of scapular dyskinesis visual dynamic evaluation, this protocol includes the main three classification approaches by considering the methodological improvements suggested by the original authors.

Troubleshooting

Before start

Table 1. Original operational definitions of the classification methods of scapular dyskinesis.

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|--|
| | 4-type [1] | Type 1 | Prominent inferior medial scapular border at rest and during arm motion |
| | | Type 2 | Prominent entire medial scapular border at rest and during arm motion |
| | | Type 3 | Elevation of the superior border and anterior displacement of the scapula at rest and shoulder shrug without the occurrence of significant winging of the scapula at the beginning of the movement |
| | | Type 4 | Both scapulae are positioned symmetrically (the scapula of the dominant member may be a bit lower) at rest and turn symmetrically upwards with the medial border attached to the thorax during movement. |
| | Yes/No [2] | Yes | Types 1, 2, and 3: Patterns of scapular asymmetry |
| | | No | Type 4: Symmetric scapular motion |
| | SDT [3] | Obvious | Apparent prominence of any portion of the medial border or inferior angle or dysrhythmia, or excessive or premature movement of the scapula during elevation or lowering of the arm |
| | | Subtle | Questionable evidence of abnormality, inconsistently present |
| | | Normal | Absence of projection of the scapula, and upper and lower rotations are smooth and continuous during elevation and lowering of the arm, respectively. |

Abbreviation: SDT, scapular dyskinesis test.

1. Kibler WB, Uhl TL, Maddux JW, Brooks PV, Zeller B, McMullen J. Qualitative clinical evaluation of scapular dysfunction: a reliability study. J Shoulder Elb Surg. 2002;11: 550-556.
2. Uhl TL, Kibler WB, Gecewich B, Tripp BL. Evaluation of clinical assessment methods for scapular dyskinesis. Arthroscopy. 2009;25: 1240-1248.
3. McClure P, Tate AR, Kareha S, Irwin D, Zlupko E. A clinical method for identifying scapular dyskinesis, part 1: reliability. J Athl Train. 2009;44: 160-164.

Raters training

1

The physical therapists underwent a 9-h training session divided in three days. On the first day, the raters separately studied the original descriptions and analyzed photographs of the three SD classifications by the authors, i.e. 4-type (Kibler et al., 2002), Yes/No (Uhl et al., 2009), and scapular dyskinesis test (McClure et al., 2009). On the second day, both raters analyzed the videos presented in the McClure et al. (2009) study, performed the assessments without knowledge of the other outcomes, and compared and discussed the differences. On the third day, the raters independently assessed eight asymptomatic volunteers, and results were subsequently compared and discussed.

Experimental procedure

- 2 During the assessment, the participants initially stood in a relaxed position with arms at the sides, elbows straight, and shoulder in neutral rotation. Subsequently, the participants were instructed to raise both arms above his or her head simultaneously as much as possible in a 2-s period, and then lower the arms for 2 s. The participants performed one series of 8–10 cycles (at least eight and maximum of ten) of elevation and lowering of the arms with weighted loads based on their body mass. Participants who weighed <68.1 kg and ≥68.1 kg used 1.5-kg and 2.5-kg dumbbells, respectively. The raters stood approximately 2 m behind the participants with freedom to move during the test to observe the scapula from any point in the posterior frontal and sagittal planes, without performing any other evaluation, such as palpation. The raters performed the assessment simultaneously, and independently, i.e., they did not communicate their results to each other at any time, and their recordings were obscured by using a folder that covered the notes from the view of each other.

Scapular dyskinesis classification

- 3 First, the raters defined the scapular dyskinesis on Yes/No classification. Second, they chose the altered specific scapula movement (Type 1, 2, or 3) if SD was classified as "Yes." Finally, the raters judged the degree of observed abnormal movements (obvious or subtle). If classified as "No," it was classified accordingly as Type 4 and normal for scapular dyskinesis test classification. Only one rater explained the test procedures to volunteers.