JAN 14, 2020

Research on an Evolutionary Game Model and Simulation of a Cluster Innovation Network Based on Fairness Preference-new manuscript

PLOS One

Li Chuanyun¹, Xia Cao¹, Ming Chi²

¹Harbin Engineering University; ²Jilin University

Li Chuanyun

OPEN ACCESS

DOI:
[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.8pdhvi6](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.8pdhvi6)

External link:
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226777>

Protocol Citation: Li Chuanyun, Xia Cao, Ming Chi 2020. Research on an Evolutionary Game Model and Simulation of a Cluster Innovation Network Based on Fairness Preference-new manuscript. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.8pdhvi6>

MANUSCRIPT CITATION:
[1] Iammarino S, Mccann P. The structure and evolution of industrial clusters: Transactions, technology and knowledge spillovers. Research Policy, 2006,35(7):1018-1036. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2006.05.004>
[2] Pouder R, John C H S. Hot Spots and Blind Spots: Geographical Clusters of Firms and Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 1996,21(4):1192-1225. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/amr.1996.9704071867>
[3] Dutta P K, Sundaram R K. The Tragedy of the Commons?. Economic Theory, 1993,3(3):413-426. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01209694>

ABSTRACT

The cluster innovation network is an important part of regional economic development. In addition, the fairness preference of internal innovators in the processes of investment and benefit distribution are particularly important for curbing "free riding" and other speculative behaviors and for creating a good cooperation environment. Therefore, taking a cluster innovation network constructed by the weighted evolutionary BBV model as the research subject, this paper constructs an evolutionary game model of a cluster innovation network based on a spatial public goods game and the theory of fairness preferences, which involves the processes of investment and payoff allocation. Using simulation analysis, this paper studies the evolution of innovators' cooperative behaviors and benefits in cluster innovation network under the conditions of a fairness preference and a return intensity. The results show that an increase in the weight coefficient, gain coefficient and degree of differentiation between the previous income and current investment can effectively promote improvements in the level of enterprise cooperation. Indeed, the greater the weight coefficient, the gain coefficient and the degree of differentiation are, the more substantial the improvement in the level of enterprise cooperation will be. Moreover, an improvement in the differentiation of the breadth and depth of enterprise cooperation has an inhibitory effect on enterprise cooperation. Furthermore, whereas increases in regulation and gain coefficients can effectively promote enterprise cooperation. However, the increase in the weight coefficient has a different effect on enterprise benefit in terms of the breadth and depth of cooperation. Finally, we hope to improve the overall cooperation level and cooperation income of the network by deeply understanding the fair preferences of innovators in the processes of investment and benefit distribution, which is helpful for promoting the evolution and development of cluster innovation networks.

ATTACHMENTS

[Support Information.7z](#)

- [4] Charness G, Rabin M. Understanding Social Preference with Simple Tests. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2002(91):151-172.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/003355302760193904>
- [5] Szolnoki A, Perc M. Group-size effects on the evolution of cooperation in the spatial public goods game.. Physical Review E Statistical Nonlinear & Soft Matter Physics, 2011,84(4):47102.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.047102>
- [6] Melamed D, Simpson B. Strong ties promote the evolution of cooperation in dynamic networks. Social Networks, 2016,45:32-44.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2015.11.001>
- [7] Lin Z, Fu B B, Li Y X. Cascading Failure of Urban Weighted Public Transit Network under Single Station Happening Emergency . Procedia Engineering, 2016,137:259-266.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.01.267>
- [8] Barrat A, Barthélemy M, Vespignani A. Weighted evolving networks: coupling topology and weight dynamics.. Physical Review Letters, 2004,92(22):228701.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.228701>
- [9] Dugatkin L A. The Evolution of Cooperation. Bioscience, 1997,47(6):355-362.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1313150>
- [10] Bauman Y, Klein G. The Tragedy of the Commons. Surgery, 2012,151(3):490-491.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2011.12.037>
- [11] Kim J, Chae H, Yook S H, Kim Y. Spatial evolutionary public goods game on complete graph and dense complex networks.. Scientific Reports, 2015,5:9381.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep09381>
- [12] Kamei K, Putterman L. Play It Again: Partner Choice, Reputation Building and Learning From Finitely repeated Dilemma Games.

Economic Journal,
2017,127(602):1069-1095.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12320>

[13] Stoop J, van Soest D, Vyrastekova J. Rewards and cooperation in social dilemma games. Journal of Environmental Economics & Management, 2018,88:300-310.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jee.m.2017.12.007>

[14] Liu J, Meng H, Wei W, Tong L, Yong Y. Synergy punishment promotes cooperation in spatial public good game. Chaos Solitons & Fractals, 2018,109:214-218.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2018.01.019>

[15] Szolnoki A, Perc M, Szabó G. Topology-independent impact of noise on cooperation in spatial public goods games.. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys, 2009,80(2):56109.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.056109>

[16] Wu Z X, Xu X J, Chen Y, Wang Y H. Spatial prisoner's dilemma game with volunteering in Newman-Watts small-world networks. Physical Review E Statistical Nonlinear & Soft Matter Physics, 2005, 71(3 Pt 2B):037103.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.037103>

[17] Ichinose G, Sayama H. Invasion of Cooperation in Scale-Free Networks: Accumulated versus Average Payoffs. Artificial Life, 2017,23(1):25-33.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/ARTL_a_00220

[18] Nowak M A, May R M. Evolutionary games and spatial chaos. Nature, 1992,359(6398):826-829.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/359826a0>

[19] Santos F C, Santos M D, Pacheco J M. Social diversity promotes the emergence of cooperation in public goods games.. Nature, 2008,454(7201):213.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06940>

[20] Cao X B, Du W B, Rong Z H. The evolutionary public goods game on scale-free networks with heterogeneous

networks with heterogeneous investment. Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications, 2010,389(6):1273-1280.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2009.11.044>

[21] Huang K, Wang T, Cheng Y, Zheng X P. Effect of Heterogeneous Investments on the Evolution of Cooperation in Spatial Public Goods Game. Plos One, 2015,10(3):e120317.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120317>

[22] Ding H, Zhang Y, Ren Y, Shi B Y, Choo K K. Heterogeneous investment in spatial public goods game with mixed strategy. Soft Computing, 2018,22(4):1287-1294.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00500-016-2415-4>

[23] Yuan W J, Xia C Y. Role of Investment Heterogeneity in the Cooperation on Spatial Public Goods Game. Plos One, 2014,9(3):e91012.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091012>

[24] Zhang Y, Wang J, Ding C, Xia C Y. Impact of individual difference and investment heterogeneity on the collective cooperation in the spatial public goods game. Knowledge-Based Systems, 2017,136:150-158.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2017.09.011>

[25] Wang Q, Wang H, Zhang Z, Li Y M, Liu Y, Perc M. Heterogeneous investments promote cooperation in evolutionary public goods games. Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications, 2018,502:570-575.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.02.140>

[26] Peng D, Yang H X, Wang W X, Chen G R, Wang B H. Promotion of cooperation induced by nonuniform payoff allocation in spatial public goods game. European Physical Journal B, 2010,73(3):455-459.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2010-00008-7>

[27] Zhang H, Yang H, Du W, Wang B H, Cao X B. Evolutionary public goods games on scale-free networks with unequal payoff allocation

mechanism. Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications, 2010,389(5):1099-1104.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2009.11.029>

[28] Meloni S, Xia C Y, Moreno Y. Heterogeneous resource allocation can change social hierarchy in public goods games. Royal Society Open Science, 2017,4(3):170092.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170092>

[29] Lei C, Wu T, Jia J Y, Cong R, Wang L. Heterogeneity of allocation promotes cooperation in public goods games. Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications, 2010,389(21):4708-4714.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2010.06.002>

[30] Zhang H, Shi D, Liu R, Wang B H. Dynamic allocation of investments promotes cooperation in spatial public goods game. Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications, 2012,391(8):2617-2622.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.12.005>

[31] Fan R, Zhang Y, Luo M, Zhang H J. Promotion of cooperation induced by heterogeneity of both investment and payoff allocation in spatial public goods game. Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications, 2017,465:454-463.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2016.08.039>

[32] Botelho A, Harrison G W, Pinto L M C, Rutström, E E. Testing static game theory with dynamic experiments: A case study of public goods. Games & Economic Behavior, 2009,67(1):253-265.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2008.11.013>

[33] Vukov J, Szabó György. Evolutionary prisoner's dilemma game on a square lattice. Physical Review E, 1998,58(1):69-73.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.036133>

[34] Traulsen A, Nowak M A. Evolution of cooperation by multilevel selection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2006,103(29):10952-10955.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602530103>

[35] Szabó G, Hauert C.
Phase transitions and
volunteering in spatial public
goods games.. Physical
Review Letters,
2002,89(11):118101.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.118101>

[36] Li J, Wu T, Zeng G, Wang
L. Selective investment
promotes cooperation in public
goods game. Physica A
Statistical Mechanics & Its
Applications,
2012,391(15):3924-3929.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2012.03.016>

License: This is an open
access protocol distributed
under the terms of
the [Creative Commons
Attribution License](#), which
permits unrestricted use,
distribution, and reproduction
in any medium, provided the
original author and source are
credited

Protocol status: Working

Created: Oct 24, 2019

Last Modified: Jan 14, 2020

PROTOCOL integer ID:
29125

Keywords: Cluster Innovation
Network; Game Model;
Fairness Preference;
Cooperative Behavior;
Cooperative Benefit