

Apr 16, 2020

Quality control for metagenomics data

 [GigaByte](#)

✓ Peer-reviewed method

 In 4 collections

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.be68jhww

Qi Wang¹

¹BGI

GigaScience Press

BGI



Qi Wang

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.be68jhww>

External link: <https://doi.org/10.46471/gigabyte.12>

Protocol Citation: Qi Wang 2020. Quality control for metagenomics data. **protocols.io**

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.be68jhhw>

Manuscript citation:

Qi Wang, Qiang Sun, Xiaoping Li, Zhefeng Wang, Haotian Zheng, Yanmei Ju, Ruijin Guo, Songlin Peng, Huijue Jia, Linking gut microbiome to bone mineral density: a shotgun metagenomic dataset from 361 elderly women, Gigabyte, 2021 <https://doi.org/10.46471/gigabyte.12>

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **[Creative Commons Attribution License](#)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: April 16, 2020

Last Modified: April 16, 2020

Protocol Integer ID: 35776

Keywords: Quality control, Metagenomics data, remove low quality reads, remove host contamination reads, quality control for metagenomics data quality control, metagenomics data quality control, metagenomics data, low quality read, host contamination, quality control

Abstract

Quality control for metagenomics data,including: remove low quality reads and host contamination reads.

Guidelines

Quality control for metagenomics data,including: remove low quality reads and host contamination reads.

Troubleshooting

Safety warnings

 No

Before start

The user should provided the single or paired metagenomics data.

1 Step1: remove low quality reads :

We firstly calculate the accuracy probabilities of each base using the following equations:

$$1)Q = -10 \log_{10}E$$

$$2)P = 1 - E$$

Where Q is the Phred quality score of each base, E is the error probability of each base.

Then we calculate the overall accuracy probability(OA)of each readusing the following equation:

For each read, an initial 30-mer seed sequence is selected at the 5' end of the read and its overall accuracy, defined as OA_{seed} , is calculated. To ensure high data quality, OA_{seed} is defined as 0.9 using m equal to 0 with zero low quality bases allowed. Once the seed position of the read has been defined, the seed would extend to keep the longest contiguous read fragment in which the OA, defined as $OA_{fragment}$, is above a defined accuracy threshold. In this study, we set $OA_{fragment}$ equal to or greater than 0.8 using m equal to 1.

And the Perl scripts for overall accuracy based QC pipeline are freely available for download and reuse from Github (<https://github.com/Scelta/OAFilter>).

2 Step2: remove host contamination reads by one command:

```
'bowtie2 --very-sensitive -p $thread -x $host_bowtie2_index -1 $sample_r2 -2  
$sample_r1 2> 02.rmhost/bowtie2.log | samtools view -h | samtools sort -n |samtools  
fastq -N -c 5 -f 12 -1 02.rmhost/$name.rmhost.1.fq.gz -2  
02.rmhost/$name.rmhost.2.fq.gz'
```