



Dec 17, 2018

Protocol for DNA Extraction from Urine

 [PLOS One](#)

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.wgsfbwe

A. Lenore Ackerman¹, Jennifer Tash Anger¹, Muhammad Umair Khalique¹, James E. Ackerman¹, Jie Tang², Jayoung Kim¹, David M. Underhill², Michael R. Freeman¹

¹Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, Cedars–Sinai Medical Center;

²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cedars–Sinai Medical Center



James Ackerman

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.wgsfbwe>

External link: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210306>

Protocol Citation: A. Lenore Ackerman, Jennifer Tash Anger, Muhammad Umair Khalique, James E. Ackerman, Jie Tang, Jayoung Kim, David M. Underhill, Michael R. Freeman 2018. Protocol for DNA Extraction from Urine. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.wgsfbwe>



Manuscript citation:

Ackerman AL, Anger JT, Khaliq MU, Ackerman JE, Tang J, Kim J, Underhill DM, Freeman MR, , Optimization of DNA extraction from human urinary samples for mycobiome community profiling. PLoS ONE 14(4). doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0210306](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210306)

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **[Creative Commons Attribution License](#)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: December 17, 2018

Last Modified: December 17, 2018

Protocol Integer ID: 18674

Keywords: DNA Extraction, Fungi, Mycobiome, Microbiome, specific dna yields from standardized urine sample, protocol for dna extraction, microbial dna yield, female urine sample, microbial community profiling, urine sample, bacterial dna yields by quantitative pcr, dna extraction procedure, used dna extraction procedure, urine introduction, standardized urine sample, microbial community, dna extraction, fungal population, entire resident microbial community, isolation of dna representative, fungal species, improved fungal community representation, bacterial dna yield, providing greater microbial representation, microbial abundance, fungal populations by ng, fungal, greater microbial representation, urinary tract, dna representative, urine storage, bacterial population, specific dna yield, conserved ribosomal dna sequence, dna processing, ribosomal dna sequence, larger volume urine, dna yield, sequencing method, fastidious organism, lysozyme digestion, including fungi, generation sequencing, dna, dna level, equivalent r

Abstract

Introduction. Recent data suggest the urinary tract hosts a microbial community of varying composition, even in the absence of infection. Culture-independent methodologies, such as next-generation sequencing of conserved ribosomal DNA sequences, provide an expansive look at these communities, identifying both common commensals and fastidious organisms. A fundamental challenge has been the isolation of DNA representative of the entire resident microbial community, including fungi.

Materials and Methods. We evaluated multiple modifications of commonly-used DNA extraction procedures using standardized male and female urine samples, comparing resulting overall, fungal and bacterial DNA yields by quantitative PCR. After identifying protocol modifications that increased DNA yields (lyticase/lysozyme digestion, bead beating, boil/freezing cycles, proteinase K treatment, and carrier DNA use), all modifications were combined for systematic confirmation of optimal protocol conditions. This optimized protocol was tested against commercially available methodologies to compare overall and microbial DNA yields, community representation and diversity by next-generation sequencing (NGS).

Results. Overall and fungal-specific DNA yields from standardized urine samples demonstrated that microbial abundances differed significantly among the eight methods used. Methodologies that included multiple disruption steps, including enzymatic, mechanical, and thermal disruption and proteinase digestion, particularly in combination with small volume processing and pooling steps, provided more comprehensive representation of the range of bacterial and fungal species. Concentration of larger volume urine specimens at low speed centrifugation proved highly effective, increasing resulting DNA levels and providing greater microbial representation and diversity.

Conclusions. Alterations in the methodology of urine storage, preparation, and DNA processing improve microbial community profiling using culture-independent sequencing methods. Our optimized protocol for DNA extraction from urine samples provided improved fungal community representation. Use of this technique resulted in equivalent representation of the bacterial populations as well, making this a useful technique for the concurrent evaluation of bacterial and fungal populations by NGS.

Guidelines

This work is best conducted in a positive pressure hood.



Materials

MATERIALS

✂ 0.1 mm Zirconia/Silica Beads **Bio Spec Products Inc. Catalog #11079101z**

✂ 0.5 mm Zirconia/Silica Beads **Bio Spec Products Inc. Catalog #11079105z**

✂ 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes

✂ Proteinase K **Thermo Fisher Scientific Catalog #EO0491**

✂ Lysozyme **Merck MilliporeSigma (Sigma-Aldrich) Catalog #12671-19-1**

✂ QIAamp® Fast DNA Stool Mini Kit **Qiagen Catalog #51604**

✂ Lyticase **Merck MilliporeSigma (Sigma-Aldrich) Catalog #L2524-50KU**

Enzyme Buffer: (0.5 M Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5)

Stratec Stool DNA Stabilizer #1038111100 250 ml

Poly (A) Polyadenylic Acid (Sigma-Aldrich #10108626001 (5ul/ml Buffer AL)

Troubleshooting

Safety warnings

! Gloves, lab coat and eye protection

Before start

1. Set heat blocks at 30 and 70 degrees C
2. Label tubes
3. Get ice and place enzymes on ice



- 1 Spin 30 ml for 15 minutes at 1500 X G at room temperature
- 2 Pour off supernatant into a separate, 50 ml tube and store at -80 c.Enzymatic Disruption
- 3 Resuspend pellets in 500 uL Enzyme buffer (0.5 M Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5) in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube
- 4 Add Enzymes: 100 uL Lyticase (2000 U/ml) and 100 uL Lysozyme (100 mg/ml)
- 5 Incubate at 30°C for 30 minutes, inverting every 5-10 minutes
- 6 Centrifuge at 4000 rcf for 5 minutes
- 7 Remove supernatantMechanical Disruption
- 8 Resuspend pellet in 800 uL Stool DNA stabilizer
- 9 Add Beads: 100 uL 0.1 mm beads and 300 uL 0.5 mm beads
- 10 Bead beat on high for 1 minute
- 11 Spin briefly at 17,000 X G
- 12 Bead beat on high for 1 minuteThermal Disruption
- 13 Heat at 95°C for 5 minutes



- 14 Vortex for 5 seconds
- 15 Heat at 95°C for 5 minutes
- 16 Vortex for 5 seconds
- 17 Cool on ice for 5 minutes
- 18 Centrifuge at 17,000 X G for 1 minuteDNA Extraction
- 19 For each sample, add 350 ml to each of 2 1.5 ml tubes
- 20 Add 10 ul proteinase K mixture (Qiagen #1017738) to each sample
- 21 Add 250 ul Buffer AL+Carrier [Poly (A) Sigma-Aldrich #10108626001 10ng/ml] to each sample
- 22 Vortex for 15 seconds
- 23 Incubate at 70°C for 10 minutes
- 24 Add 250 uL 100% EtOH to each sample
- 25 Mix by vortexing for 5 seconds
- 26 Transfer mixture from first tube to QIAamp Mini Spin Column (#1011706) and centrifuge at 17,000 X G for 1 minute



- 27 Change collection tube, transfer mixture from second tube to spin column and centrifuge at 17,000 X G for 1 minute
- 28 Change collection tube and add 500 uL Buffer AW1 to column
- 29 Centrifuge at 17,000 X G for 1 minute
- 30 Change collection tube and add 500 uL AW2 to column
- 31 Centrifuge at 17,000 X G for 3 minutes
- 32 Remove residual EtOH by centrifuging an additional 1 min at max
- 33 Transfer column to new 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube
- 34 Add 50 uL Buffer AE to column, incubate at RT for 5 minutes and spin at 17,000 X G for 1 minute
- 35 Transfer fluid from collection tube back to spin column and repeat last step
- 36 Measure DNA concentration on Nanodrop 2000 Spectrophotometer