

Mar 11, 2024

Version 2

PILOT, OPEN NON-CONTROLLED TRIAL TO ASSESS THE FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING OBJECTIVE PARAMETERS AS PRIMARY ENDPOINTS IN A CLINICAL TRIAL WITH PATIENTS AFFECTED BY KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS. V.2



DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.n2bvj35o5lk5/v2

Bogdan-Corneliu Andor¹, Dionisio Franco Barattini², Simone Guadagna³, Luca Barattini⁴, Serban Rosu¹,
Dumitru-Emanuel Dogaru² 1,2,3,4

¹1: University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Victor Babes", Timisoara 300041, Romania;

²2: Opera CRO, a TIGERMED company, Timisoara 300209, Romania;

³3: formerly Opera CRO, a TIGERMED company, Timisoara 300209, Romania;

⁴4: formerly TIGERMED Italy, 16100 Genova, Italy.



federica sbrocca

Tigermed Italy

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.n2bvj35o5lk5/v2>



Document Citation: Bogdan-Corneliu Andor¹, Dionisio Franco Barattini², Simone Guadagna³, Luca Barattini⁴, Serban Rosu¹, Dumitru-Emanuel Dogaru² 2024. PILOT, OPEN NON-CONTROLLED TRIAL TO ASSESS THE FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING OBJECTIVE PARAMETERS AS PRIMARY ENDPOINTS IN A CLINICAL TRIAL WITH PATIENTS AFFECTED BY KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS.. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.n2bvj35o5lk5/v2> Version created by **federica sbrocca**

License: This is an open access document distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Created: March 11, 2024

Last Modified: March 11, 2024

Document Integer ID: 96494

Keywords: knee osteoarthritis, osteoarthritis, drugs for osteoarthritis, improvement of the knee mobility, symptomatic osteoarthritis, improvements in knee mobility, necessity necessity for osteoarthritis, knee oa patient, knee mobility, moderate knee oa, relieving knee pain, knee with mild joint discomfort, simple goniometer the knee, bilateral knee oa, knee osteoarthritis logo, knee joint, treatment with hyaluronic acid, affected knee at baseline, studies on oral hyaluronic acid, affected knee, passive knee flexion, patient knee, oral hyaluronic acid, knee pain, knee oa, hyaluronic acid, knee, investigator below the patient knee, pain in the affected knee, inflammatory arthritic condition, knee oa until december, mild joint discomfort, chondroprotective drug, rom of knee joint, passive knee extension, high tibial osteotomy, rom of the knee joint, tools with the pain reduction, preliminary information on the efficacy, exploratory nature of this clinical trial, pain reduction, quality of life questionnaire, changes in

Abstract

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a disabling condition affecting more than one-third of people over 65 years of age. Studies on oral hyaluronic acid used to treat OA generally measure subjective parameters, such as visual analogue scales or quality of life questionnaires. As a result, objective measures are missing, and data validity is generally impaired.

Aim of this pilot study (performed in knee OA patients aged 50-70 years of both sexes) is to evaluate the feasibility of using objective tools as outcomes to evaluate improvements in knee mobility after a treatment with hyaluronic acid used by oral route.

Ultrasound and Range Of Motion measurements, objectively correlating changes in joint mobility with pain reduction, are proposed as primary objectives. Secondary objectives are focused on collecting data estimating the time and budget necessary to perform the main double-blind study randomized trial. These data may be both quantitative (such as enrolment rate per month, number of screening failures, and new potential outcomes) and qualitative (site logistical issues, patient reluctance to enrol, and interpersonal difficulties for investigators). Moreover, the pilot study should provide preliminary information on the efficacy of the investigational product.

Synopsis

Title: Pilot, open non-controlled trial to assess the feasibility of implementing objective parameters as primary endpoints in a clinical trial with patients affected by knee osteoarthritis.

Protocol code: Pilot OPRPH/0117/FS

Version: 1.0 (final) - 05/12/2017

Registry: www.ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03421054

Acronym: :SMILE: Syalox, Multicenter, trial to Evaluate knee osteoarthritis

Logo:



Type: Interventional pilot study

Sponsor: RIVER PHARMA Srl

Viale Stazione, 6 – 26863 Orio Litta - Lodi (Italy)

CRO: OPERA CRO Srl

10 Cozia, 300209 Timisoara (Romania)

Rationale and Research Hypothesis :

On 2014 the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO) has suggested⁽⁸⁾ as first step of pharmacological treatment for knee osteoarthritis (OA) a background therapy with chronic symptomatic slow-acting drugs for osteoarthritis (SYSADOAs). In this class⁽¹⁰⁻¹¹⁾ of natural compounds, hyaluronic acid (HA) has evidenced its efficacy after intra-articular (IA) administration in patient with mild to moderate knee OA with more than 137 studies reported in a recent meta-analysis⁽¹²⁾. On the other hand, the few studies regarding the oral administration of HA have demonstrated its efficacy in relieving knee pain but present several inconsistencies. We have recently updated the last published review⁽²¹⁾ on efficacy and safety of HA oral formulation in knee OA until December 2017⁽²²⁾. The critical issues emerging from this analysis are the following: different efficacy, end points, dosages and molecular weights between the studies; generally low sample size population and short duration therapy (ESCEO recommend a chronic background therapy); prevalent use of subjective parameters (VAS, JKOM and JOA); symptoms measurement using an objective tool was performed in very few trials^(28, 32-34) and was limited to ultrasound (US) and isokinetic dynamometer, often with **ambiguous** results and possible bias; no study has used actigraphy⁽⁴¹⁻⁴²⁾ to evaluate the composite measurement of pain and basic activities like walking or performing daily activities⁽⁴³⁻³³⁾ or range of motion (ROM) to measure with a simple goniometer the knee joint movement amplitude⁽⁴⁵⁾. We have planned to test in a new clinical trial the hypothesis that the oral administration of HMWHA for a period of 4 months would: i) reduce the pain in the affected knee at the end of the study period; ii) evidence a statistically significant difference versus a control population treated with placebo; iii) evidence the above mentioned improvement by both subjective measurements (VAS, QoL) and objective measurements (US, actigraphy and ROM). After the conclusion of the study period the patient should have the opportunity (even not mandatory) to enter in a follow up of 8 additional months. To test the above-mentioned hypothesis in a large randomized clinical trial (RCT) was extremely attractive from a scientific point of view, but can be a hazard (in terms of resources, money, time and management)⁽⁴⁷⁾ for our team of Investigators. For these reasons, we have asked for a grant by a pharma company producing the tested HMWHA and, on December 2018, we have planned to perform initially a pilot study in order to assess the feasibility of implementing US, actigraphy and ROM as objective measurements useful to correlate the improvement of the knee mobility with its pain reduction. In addition, the pilot study will assess the feasibility of the planned recruitment monthly rate and the time and budget occurring, and it should give preliminary (but not exhaustive) efficacy data.

Objectives:

The primary objective is:

- to assess the feasibility of implementing Ultrasonography and Range of motion (ROM) as objective measurements to correlate the improvement of the knee mobility measured by these tools with the pain reduction of the affected knee evaluated using a subjective scale (VAS) in patients assuming nutraceutical containing HA.
- To evaluate the safety of the tested product

The secondary objectives of the trial are:

- to collect quantitative and qualitative data to assess the feasibility of the planned future main study for time and budget.
- to correlate the improvement of the US and ROM parameters and that evidenced by

specific measurements of VAS (on moving and on pressing)

The explorative objectives of the trial are:

Preliminary data on efficacy of the tested product.

- to assess the feasibility of implementing Actigraphy as objective measurements to correlate the improvement of the knee mobility with the pain reduction (optional).

Outcomes:

Primary efficacy outcome:

- Correlation between reduction in VAS (at rest) and ultrasonography parameters (i.e.. synovial effusion reduction)
- Correlation between reduction in VAS (at rest) and ROM improvement

Secondary efficacy outcomes:

- Correlation between reduction in VAS (on moving; on pressing) and US and ROM parameters.
- Number of enrolled patients per month, duration of enrolment, visit duration, measurement of end points, adherence to treatment.
- Information on patient reluctance to enrol, difficulty understanding the consent form, logistic issues at the site, interpersonal relationships among staff.
- QoL measured by the KOOS Questionnaire (Romanian version)
- Lequesne Algo-functional Index

Safety outcomes:

- AE/SAE (by asking to the patients during the visits and checking in the filled diary cards)

Explorative outcomes:

- Rescue medication use (paracetamol 500 mg). Correlation between reduction in VAS (at rest; on moving; on pressing) and Actigraphy parameters

Criteria for proceeding with the future main trial:

at the end of the pilot trial, the following criteria are used to determine whether to proceed with the future main RCT: there must be evidence of improvement in both the subjective outcome (VAS at rest) and at least one of the objective outcomes (ROM and US) at the final visit. On the contrary, due to the small sample size, a statistically significant correlation (using Pearson's coefficient) between objective and subjective parameters is not required.

Design: Open, non-controlled, single centre pilot study.

Disease: Knee osteoarthritis.

Inclusion criteria:

- Any gender and age from 50 to 70 years
- Symptomatic osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee with mild joint discomfort for at least 6 months prior to enrolment, following ACR criteria with history and physical examination⁽⁴⁴⁾. Subjects diagnosed with bilateral knee OA will be asked to specify the most affected knee at baseline, and this knee will be evaluated throughout the study period.

- Available confirmatory X-ray (performed within the previous 6 months) diagnosis (Kellgren/Lawrence score 2) at the evaluated knee joint⁽⁴⁵⁾.
 - Subjects experienced pain for at least 15 of the 30 days prior to the start of the study.
 - Signed informed consent
- Compliance to all study requirements.

Exclusion criteria:

- Subjects who have any inflammatory arthritic condition (different from the OA of the knee), fibromyalgia, multiple sclerosis or autoimmune disorder.
 - Treatment with oral corticosteroids within 4 weeks before screening.
 - Intra-articular injections of HA or corticosteroids in the target joint within 3 months before screening.
 - Treatment with anti-inflammatory or chondroprotective drugs (chondroitin sulfate, glucosamine, methylsulfonylmethane, HA, diacerein) 2 weeks before the selection.
 - HA-containing nutritional supplements or cosmetics during the month before the study.
 - Previous surgical treatment of knee joint(s) or its necessity for osteoarthritis (high tibial osteotomy, arthroplasty); complication(s) necessary for hospitalization and surgical treatment.
 - Significant injury to the target joint within the past 6 months prior to screening (identified from medical history).
 - Subjects following an energy-restricted diet for weight loss.
 - Pregnant women, nursing mothers, or women (only if childbearing potential) not using adequate methods of contraception.
 - Subjects with cardiovascular, hepatic, renal, respiratory, or hematologic illness, or other medical or psychiatric condition that, in the opinion of the investigator, would compromise participation or be likely to lead to hospitalization during the course of the study.
 - Participation in an interventional clinical study in the previous 30 days.
- Presence of any clinically significant medical condition judged by the investigator to preclude the patient's inclusion in the study.

Number of patients: 8 evaluable patients

Sample size calculation: Usually, the sample size calculation is not requested in a pilot trial. Literature recommends about 10% of the number required for the main future RCT. Considering that the sample size of the main study will be 80 patients and given the exploratory nature of this clinical trial, Investigators have agreed to enrol just 8 subjects.

Number of Centres: 1 Center (located in Romania)

Not allowed concomitant treatment: Diuretics and any medication mentioned in exclusion criteria; routine intake of antiresorptive drugs, including bisphosphonates or oestrogen; alcohol, drug abuse.

Active Administration: High-molecular-weight HA 300 mg + AKBA 10 mg (SIALOX 300 PLUS) 1 tablet/day for 8 weeks (oral administration)

Administration duration: 8 weeks.

Chronogram of visits:

The study foresees 3 visits per patient:

- Visit 1 day -14 to day 0: Screening & Baseline visit
- Visit 2 week 4 (± 2 days): Follow-up visit (Phone call Visit and *optional* Site Visit)
- Visit 3 week 8 (± 4 days): End of study visit

Procedures:

a) Visit 1: Screening & Baseline assessment (day -14 to day 0)

- Informed consent
- Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
- Demographics and Medical History
- Physical examination and Vital signs
- Orthopaedic assessment
- Ultrasonography
- Start of measurement (24/24hrs) using Actigraph, for 7 days prior nutraceutical product administration (optional); The actigraph was placed by the Investigator below the patient knee 7 days before BL visit and was returned at BL.
- KOOS Questionnaire
- VAS
- ROM of knee joint measured by goniometer (active and passive knee flexion, active and passive knee extension)
- Nutraceutical product delivery
- Accountability card for rescue medication delivery
- Rescue medication (paracetamol 500 mg) delivery
- Safety assessment

b) Visit 2: Follow-up assessment (week 4 ± 2 days by Phone call assessment and optional Visit at site)

- Orthopaedic assessment
- KOOS Questionnaire
- VAS
- ROM of the knee joint measured by goniometer
- Accountability for rescue medication
- Safety assessment

c) Visit 3: Final assessment (week 8 ± 4 days)

- Physical examination and Vital signs
- Orthopaedic assessment
- Ultrasonography
- Start of measurement (24/24hrs) using actigraph, for 7 days during the week prior to the Final assessment (optional); The actigraph was placed by the Investigator below the patient knee 7 days before visit 3 and was returned at visit 3.

- KOOS Questionnaire
- VAS
- ROM of the knee joint measured by goniometer
- Nutraceutical product return and final accountability
- Rescue medication (paracetamol 500 mg) return
- Final accountability for rescue medication return

Safety assessment

Statistical analysis: Quantitative variables (i.e. demographic) if normally distributed will be described through media, standard deviation (SD); variables with normal distribution are described using median and range of interquartile. The Student's t-test and the Mann-Whitney U will be employed to perform comparative analysis in accordance to the distribution of these variables. Factorial variance analysis can also be used to evaluate any interactions between quantitative variables and linear progression models to relate possible confounding bias with independent variables. Categorical variables will be finally described using frequencies and percentages and comparative analysis will use the χ^2 test. Spearman rank correlation is a non-parametric test that is used to measure the degree of association between two variables. The Spearman rank correlation test does not carry any assumptions about the distribution of the data and is the appropriate correlation analysis when the variables are measured on a scale that is at least ordinal (such is the case in the current study). In the population enrolled, applying the Spearman's rank correlation test, we are expecting to find a strong positive correlation (at least 0.7) between reduction in VAS (at rest) and ultrasonography parameters, and between reduction in VAS (at rest) and ROM improvement. The quality and completeness of the collected data will be evaluated preliminarily compared to data analysis. If a subject is missing information for one or more variables, even after the resolution of its query, the missing data will not be replaced. If a subject has been involved in violation of inclusion/exclusion criteria, the respective data will be excluded from the analysis.

Ethics Committee approval

Prior to the initiation of the trial, the Investigator must submit the clinical protocol, the Patient Information Sheet, the Patient Consent Form and any other documentation as required to the local centre's EC for review and approval.

Informed Consent and Patient Information

The Investigator is responsible for and must obtain the written informed consent (ICF) from each patient enrolled in the study, in accordance with the ICH-GCP Guidelines and the current version of the Declaration of Helsinki. The patients must be given a document in local (Romanian) language written in clear concise lay language for review and consideration (Patient Information Sheet). These documents (ICF and PIS) must previously be approved by relevant EC and may further be updated as new important information that may affect patient's willingness to participate or continue in the trial becomes available.

Timing:

Start of enrolment (First patient in): February 2018

End of enrolment (Last patient in): April 2018

End of 1st month of IP administration: 30 April 2018

End of IP administration (Last patient out): 30 May 2018

Data Base Lock: 30 June 2018

Statistical Analysis: 30 July 2018

Flowchart:

A	B	C	D
	Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 3
Days	-14 to 0	28 (\pm 2)	56 (\pm 4)
Weeks	-2 to 0	4	8
Informed consent	X		
Inclusion Criteria	X		
Exclusion Criteria	X	X	X
Demographics and Medical history	X		
Physical examination and Vital signs	X		
Othopaedic assessment	X		X
Concomitant treatments	X	X	X
Actigraphy - sensor delivery 1 week before the visit (optional)	X		X
Actigraphy - sensor return (optional)	X		X
KOOS	X	X1	X
VAS	X	X1	X
ROM by goniometer	X	X1	X
Ultrasonography	X		X



	A	B	C	D
	Nutraceutical product delivery	X		
	Nutraceutical product return			X
	Rescue medication delivery	X		
	Rescue medication return			X
	Rescue medication accountability card delivery	X		
	Rescue medication accountability card return			X
	Adverse Events	X	X2	X

X1: Tests and examinations not mandatory if the visit is performed as telephone call

X2 Safety (AE/SAE checking) is mandatory in any case

Troubleshooting



Synopsis

Protocol title: Pilot, open non-controlled trial to assess the feasibility of implementing objective parameters as primary endpoints in a clinical trial with patients affected by knee osteoarthritis.

Protocol code: Pilot OPRPH/0117/FS

Version: 1.0 (final) - 05/12/2017

Registry: www.ClinicalTrials.govNCT03421054

Acronym: **:SMILE: Syalox, Multicenter, trial to Evaluate knee osteoarthritis**

Logo:



Protocol references

1. Bhatia D, Bejarano T, Novo M. Current interventions in the management of knee osteoarthritis. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2013 Jan;5(1):30–38. PMID:23559821
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Osteoarthritis. URL:<https://www.cdc.gov/arthritis/basics/osteoarthritis.htm>. Accessed: 2018-12-04. (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/74PatXNAk>).
3. Ringdahl E, Pandit S. Treatment of knee osteoarthritis. *Am Fam Physician* 2011 Jun 1;83(11):1287–1292. PMID:21661710
4. Pai YC, Chang HJ, Chang RW, Sinacore JM, Lewis JL. Alteration in multijoint dynamics in patients with bilateral knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994 Sep;37(9):1297–1304. PMID:7945492
5. Smink AJ, van den Ende CHM, Vliet Vlieland TPM, Swierstra BA, Kortland JH, Bijlsma JWW, Voorn TB, Schers HJ, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, Dekker J. "Beating osteoARTthritis": development of a stepped care strategy to optimize utilization and timing of non-surgical treatment modalities for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2011 Dec;30(12):1623–1629. PMID:21887488
6. Bruyère O, Cooper C, Pelletier J-P, Branco J, Luisa Brandi M, Guillemin F, Hochberg MC, Kanis JA, Kvien TK, Martel-Pelletier J, Rizzoli R, Silverman S, Reginster J-Y. An algorithm recommendation for the management of knee osteoarthritis in Europe and internationally: a report from a task force of the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO). *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2014 Dec;44(3):253–263. PMID:24953861
7. Bruyère O, Burlet N, Delmas PD, Rizzoli R, Cooper C, Reginster J-Y. Evaluation of symptomatic slow-acting drugs in osteoarthritis using the GRADE system. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2008 Dec 16;9:165. PMID:19087296
8. Sansone V, Consonni O, Maiorano E. Nutraceuticals and chondroprotective agents for the treatment of osteoarthritis: a narrative review. A controversial resource in the treatment of osteoarthritis - *Minerva Ortopedica e Traumatologica* 2014 February;65(1):61-72 - *Minerva Medica - Journals* [Internet]. [cited 2018 Sep 13]. Available from: <https://www.minervamedica.it/en/journals/minerva-ortopedica-traumatol/article.php?cod=R14Y2014N01A0061>
9. Bannuru RR, Schmid CH, Kent DM, Vaysbrot EE, Wong JB, McAlindon TE. Comparative effectiveness of pharmacologic interventions for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2015 Jan 6;162(1):46–54. PMID:25560713
10. Balogh L, Polyak A, Mathe D, Kiraly R, Thuroczy J, Terez M, Janoki G, Ting Y, Bucci LR, Schauss AG. Absorption, uptake and tissue affinity of high-molecular-weight hyaluronan after oral administration in rats and dogs. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2008 Nov 26;56[22]:10582–93
11. Altman RD, Manjoo A, Fierlinger A, Niazi F, Nicholls M. The mechanism of action for hyaluronic acid treatment in the osteoarthritic knee: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2015 Oct 26;16:321.
12. Oe M, Tashiro T, Yoshida H, Nishiyama H, Masuda Y, Maruyama K, Koikeda T, Maruya R, Fukui N. Oral hyaluronan relieves knee pain: a review. *Nutr J*. 2016 Jan 27;15:11
13. Guadagna S, Barattini DF, Rosu. Oral hyaluronan for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Progress in Nutrition* 2018. 20; 4
14. Thabane L, Ma J, Chu R, Cheng J, Ismaila A, Rios LP, Robson R, Thabane M, Giangregorio L, Goldsmith CH. A tutorial on pilot studies: the what, why and how. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2010 Jan 6;10:1. PMID:20053272
15. Khan, M.I., Mbuagbaw, L., Holek, M. et al. Transparency of informed consent in pilot and feasibility studies is inadequate: a single-center quality assurance study. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 7, 96 (2021).

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-021-00828-w>

16. Johns Hopkins Arthritis Center. ACR Clinical classification criteria for Osteoarthritis of the knee. URL:https://www.hopkinsarthritis.org/physician-corner/education/arthritis-education-diagnostic-guidelines/#class_knee. Accessed: 2018-12-04. (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/74PoRYVb5>).
17. Kohn MD, Sassoon AA, Fernando ND. Classifications in Brief: Kellgren-Lawrence Classification of Osteoarthritis. *Clin Orthop* 2016 Aug;474(8):1886–1893. PMID:26872913
18. Ricci M, Micheloni GM, Berti M, et al. Clinical comparison of oral administration and viscosupplementation of hyaluronic acid (HA) in early knee osteoarthritis. *Musculoskelet Surg*. 2017;101(1):45–49. doi:10.1007/s12306-016-0428-x
19. Nelson FR, Zvirbulis RA, Zonca B, et al. The effects of an oral preparation containing hyaluronic acid (Oralvisc®) on obese knee osteoarthritis patients determined by pain, function, bradykinin, leptin, inflammatory cytokines, and heavy water analyses [published correction appears in *Rheumatol Int*. 2015 Jan;35(1):53]. *Rheumatol Int*. 2015;35(1):43–52. doi:10.1007/s00296-014-3047-6
20. Kalman DS, Heimer M, Valdeon A, Schwartz H, Sheldon E. Effect of a natural extract of chicken combs with a high content of hyaluronic acid (Hyal-Joint) on pain relief and quality of life in subjects with knee osteoarthritis: a pilot randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Nutr J*. 2008;7:3. Published 2008 Jan 21. doi:10.1186/1475-2891-7-3
21. Jensen GS, Attridge VL, Lenninger MR, Benson KF. Oral intake of a liquid high-molecular-weight hyaluronan associated with relief of chronic pain and reduced use of pain medication: results of a randomized, placebo-controlled double-blind pilot study. *J Med Food*. 2015;18(1):95–101. doi:10.1089/jmf.2013.0174
22. Roy NK, Parama D, Banik K, et al. An Update on Pharmacological Potential of Boswellic Acids against Chronic Diseases. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;20(17):4101. Published 2019 Aug 22. doi:10.3390/ijms20174101
23. Valente IVB, Garcia D, Abbott A, et al. The anti-proliferative effects of a frankincense extract in a window of opportunity phase Ia clinical trial for patients with breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. Published online January 9, 2024. doi:10.1007/s10549-023-07215-4
24. Yu G, Xiang W, Zhang T, Zeng L, Yang K, Li J. Effectiveness of Boswellia and Boswellia extract for osteoarthritis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Complement Med Ther*. 2020;20(1):225. Published 2020 Jul 17. doi:10.1186/s12906-020-02985-6
25. Meins J, Artaria C, Riva A, Morazzoni P, Schubert-Zsilavecz M, Abdel-Tawab M. Survey on the Quality of the Top-Selling European and American Botanical Dietary Supplements Containing Boswellic Acids. *Planta Med*. 2016;82(6):573–579. doi:10.1055/s-0042-103497
26. Sengupta K, Krishnaraju AV, Vishal AA, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 5-Loxin and Aflapin Against osteoarthritis of the knee: a double blind, randomized, placebo controlled clinical study. *Int J Med Sci*. 2010;7(6):366–377. Published 2010 Nov 1. doi:10.7150/ijms.7.366
27. Vishal AA, Mishra A, Raychaudhuri SP. A double blind, randomized, placebo controlled clinical study evaluates the early efficacy of aflapin in subjects with osteoarthritis of knee. *Int J Med Sci*. 2011;8(7):615–622. doi:10.7150/ijms.8.615
28. Nahler, G. (2009). Rescue Medication. In: *Dictionary of Pharmaceutical Medicine*. Springer, Vienna. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-211-89836-9_1231
29. Lancaster GA, Dodd S, Williamson PR. Design and analysis of pilot studies: recommendations for good practice. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2004 May;10(2):307–312. PMID:15189396

30. Ammon HP. Boswellic Acids and Their Role in Chronic Inflammatory Diseases. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2016;928:291-327. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-41334-1_13
31. Semanik P, Lee J, Manheim L, Dipietro L, Dunlop D, Chang RW. Relationship between accelerometer-based measures of physical activity and the Yale Physical Activity Survey in adults with arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2011;63(12):1766-1772. doi:10.1002/acr.20644
32. Trudeau J, Van Inwegen R, Eaton T, et al. Assessment of pain and activity using an electronic pain diary and actigraphy device in a randomized, placebo-controlled crossover trial of celecoxib in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Pain Pract.* 2015;15(3):247-255. doi:10.1111/papr.12167
33. Kivitz AJ, Conaghan PG, Cinar A, Lufkin J, Kelley SD. Rescue Analgesic Medication Use by Patients Treated with Triamcinolone Acetonide Extended-Release for Knee Osteoarthritis Pain: Pooled Analysis of Three Phase 2/3 Randomized Clinical Trials. *Pain Ther.* 2019;8(2):271-280. doi:10.1007/s40122-019-0125-1
34. Billingham SA, Whitehead AL, Julious SA. An audit of sample sizes for pilot and feasibility trials being undertaken in the United Kingdom registered in the United Kingdom Clinical Research Network database. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2013 Aug 20;13:104. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-13-104. PMID: 23961782; PMCID: PMC3765378
35. Baker, TL (1994), *Doing Social research* (2nd. Edn.), McGraw-Hill Companies, 1993. ISBN, 0070034931, 9780070034938
36. Andor BC, Cerbu S. Oral hyaluronic acid in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Progr Nutr [Internet].* 2019 Mar. 4 [cited 2024 Mar. 5];21(1):243-5. Available from: <https://mattioli1885journals.com/index.php/progressinnutrition/article/view/8185>
37. Tashiro T, Seino S, Sato T, Matsuoka R, Masuda Y, Fukui N. Oral administration of polymer hyaluronic acid alleviates symptoms of knee osteoarthritis: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study over a 12-month period. *Sci. World J.* 2012;doi:10.1100/2012/167928
38. Nagaoka I, Nabeshima K, Murakami S, Yamamoto T, Watanabe K, Tomonaga A, et al. Evaluation of the effects of a supplementary diet containing chicken comb extract on symptoms and cartilage metabolism in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Exp Ther Med.* 2010;1:817-27
39. Möller I, Martinez-Puig D, Chetrit C. Oral administration of a natural extract rich in hyaluronic acid for the treatment of knee OA with synovitis: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Nutr Suppl.* 2009;4:171-2
40. Sánchez J, Bonet ML, Keijer J, van Schothorst EM, Mölller I, Chetrit C, et al. Blood cells transcriptomics as source of potential biomarkers of articular health improvement: effects of oral intake of a rooster combs extract rich in hyaluronic acid. *Genes Nutr.* 2014;9:417.
41. Solà R, Valls RM, Martorell I et al. A low-fat yoghurt supplemented with a rooster comb extract on muscle joint function in adults with mild knee pain: a randomized, double blind, parallel, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of efficacy. *Food Funct.* 2015. DOI: 10.1039/c5fo00321k
42. Martinez-Puig D, Möller I, Fernández C, Chetrit C. Efficacy of oral administration of yoghurt supplemented with a preparation containing hyaluronic acid (Mobilee™) in adults with mild joint discomfort: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled intervention study. *Mediterr J Nutr Metab.* 2013;6:63-8.
43. Tashiro T, Seino S, Sato T, Matsuoka R, Masuda Y, Fukui N. Oral administration of polymer hyaluronic acid alleviates symptoms of knee osteoarthritis: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study over a 12-month period. *Sci. World J.* 2012;doi:10.1100/2012/167928
44. D'Agostino MA, Conaghan P, Le Bars M, Baron G, Grassi W, Martin-Mola E, Wakefield R, Brasseur JL, So A, Backhaus M, Malaise M, Burmester G, Schmidely N, Ravaud P, Dougados M, Emery P. EULAR report on the use of ultrasonography in painful knee osteoarthritis. Part 1: prevalence of inflammation in osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2005 Dec;64(12):1703-9.
45. Bucsi L, Poór G. Efficacy and tolerability of oral chondroitin sulfate as a symptomatic



slow-acting drug for osteoarthritis (SYSADOA) in the treatment of

knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 1998;6 Suppl A:31-36. doi:10.1016/s1063-4584(98)80009-5.

46. Kivitz AJ, Conaghan PG, Cinar A, Lufkin J, Kelley SD. Rescue Analgesic Medication Use by Patients Treated with Triamcinolone Acetonide Extended-Release for Knee Osteoarthritis Pain: Pooled Analysis of Three Phase 2/3 Randomized Clinical Trials. *Pain Ther*. 2019;8(2):271-280. doi:10.1007/s40122-019-0125-1

47. Notarnicola A, Maccagnano G, Moretti L, et al. Methylsulfonylmethane and boswellic acids versus glucosamine sulfate in the treatment of knee arthritis: Randomized trial. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*. 2016;29(1):140-146. doi:10.1177/0394632015622215