


Sep 07, 2022

Version 2

Mollusk pedal mucus effects on epilithic biofilms V.2

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvoy3bdg4o/v2



Clara Maria Arboleda-Baena^{1,2}, Claudia Pareja², Isadora Pla², Ramiro Logares³, Rodrigo De La Iglesia^{2,4}, Sergio navarrate^{1,4,5}

¹Estación Costera de Investigaciones Marinas and Center for Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES), Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, El Tabo, Chile;

²Departamento de Genética Molecular y Microbiología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago de Chile, Región Metropolitana, Chile;

³Institut de Ciències del Mar (ICM), CSIC, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain;

⁴Marine Energy Research & Innovation Center (MERIC), Santiago de Chile, Chile;

⁵Centro Basal COPAS-COASTAL, Universidad de Concepción

How macroorganisms af...



Clara Maria Arboleda-Baena

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvoy3bdg4o/v2>

Protocol Citation: Clara Maria Arboleda-Baena, Claudia Pareja, Isadora Pla, Ramiro Logares, Rodrigo De La Iglesia, Sergio navarrate 2022. Mollusk pedal mucus effects on epilithic biofilms. **protocols.io**

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvoy3bdg4o/v2> Version created by **Clara Maria Arboleda-Baena**

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: September 06, 2022

Last Modified: September 07, 2022

Protocol Integer ID: 69628

Keywords: variation in pedal mucus microbiota, epilithic biofilm community, pedal mucus microbiota, epilithic biofilms protocol, hidden interactions in the intertidal rocky shore, marine grazer, intertidal rocky shore

Disclaimer

DISCLAIMER – FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY; USE AT YOUR OWN RISK

The protocol content here is for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal, medical, clinical, or safety advice, or otherwise; content added to **protocols.io** is not peer reviewed and may not have undergone a formal approval of any kind. Information presented in this protocol should not substitute for independent professional judgment, advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Any action you take or refrain from taking using or relying upon the information presented here is strictly at your own risk. You agree that neither the Company nor any of the authors, contributors, administrators, or anyone else associated with **protocols.io**, can be held responsible for your use of the information contained in or linked to this protocol or any of our Sites/Apps and Services.

Abstract

Protocol used in the article "Hidden interactions in the intertidal rocky shore: variation in pedal mucus microbiota among marine grazers that feed on epilithic biofilm communities". PeerJ

Materials

Laminar flow cabinet

Polycarbonate cell culture plate of 6-Wells

Aquariums with circulating seawater and constant aeration.


Sterile glass slide (20 × 7 cm)

 1 L Filtered seawater

Sterile scalpel

Cryovials

0.01% acridine orange

 500 mL PBS

Fluorescent Microscope with an excitation filter (FS38) 470/40 nm and an emission filter 525/50 nm.

Image J

Troubleshooting

- 1 We cultured epilithic biofilms in K medium (Keller et al. 1987) on a cover glass slide inside a Polycarbonate cell culture plate of 6-Wells, for one week.
- 2 During nocturnal low tides, we collected animals of each mollusk species from wave-exposed platforms, brought them to the laboratory in coolers, and then placed them to acclimatize for a week in separate aquariums by circulating seawater and constant aeration.
- 3 The pedal mucus was collected under a laminar flow cabinet (Connor 1986). Animals were carefully removed from their containers, washed in filtered seawater (0.2 μm pore-size filters), and then each of them was placed on an individual inclined sterile glass slide (21 \times 7 cm).



Fig 1. Sterile glass slide used for pedal mucus collection.

- 4 As they moved through the glass, filtered seawater was added to the animal pedal to stimulate individual mucus production. The animals moved in the glass slides for a maximum of five minutes and then were removed.
- 5 The mucus on the glass slides was removed with a sterile scalpel and put in individual cryovials with filtered seawater.
- 6 Then, the experiment consisted of placing the pedal mucus collected from individuals of each species in separate replicated wells with cover glass slides cultured with biofilm. The control wells received no mucus, and pedal mucus treatments were randomly assigned to the cell culture plates.

- 7 After one week, we took the cover slides from the cell culture plates separately and stained them with 200 μ l 0.01% acridine orange for 3 minutes. The acridine orange is a fluorescent compound that emits red fluorescence when attached to single-stranded templates (RNA) and green fluorescence if the nucleic acids are double-stranded (DNA) (Rigler 1966). It can estimate the total amount of bacterial cells in the biofilm. After 3 min incubation in the dark, the staining solution was removed, and the plate was washed twice with 500 μ l of PBS solution.
- 8 We took five photographs of each cover glass slide in different fields under a Fluorescent Carl Zeiss AXIO Scope A1 Microscope using an excitation filter (FS38) 470/40 nm and an emission filter 525/50 nm.
- 9 Then, we measured the cover of the photosynthetic epilithic biofilm using the software Image J and the following workflow:

a. Upload the image

b. Select: Image > Adjust > Color Threshold.

Parameters: Thresholding method = Default;

Threshold color = Red;

Color space = HSB;

Dark background (active).

c. Move the Brightness values until you select only the biofilm, not the background.

d. Select: Analyze > Measure, and copy the results in a table.




Fig 2. The cover measurement of photosynthetic epilithic biofilm. A. Photograph of biofilms stained with 0.01% acridine orange. B. The same photograph was analyzed with the workflow of Image J.



- 10 Cover results were analyzed with one-way ANOVA with grazer species as a fixed factor. A Tukey post hoc test was performed to determine the pattern of differences.

LITERATURE CITED

Connor, V. M. 1986. The use of mucous trails by intertidal limpets to enhance food resources. *The Biological Bulletin* 171: 548–564.

Keller, M. D., R. C. Selvin, W. Claus, and R. R. Guillard. 1987. Media for the culture of oceanic ultraphytoplankton 1, 2. *Journal of phycology* 23: 633–638.

Rigler, R. J. 1966. Microfluorometric characterization of intracellular nucleic acids and nucleoproteins by acridine orange. *Acta physiologica Scandinavica* 67: 1–122.