

Apr 06, 2023

Lignin Reductive Catalytic Fractionation (RCF) Monomers Analysis by Gas Chromatography Flame Ionization Detection (GC-FID)



DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wgqjerovk5/v1

Hannah M. Alt¹, David G. Brandner¹, Gregg T. Beckham¹, Kelsey J. Ramirez¹

¹Renewable Resources and Enabling Sciences Center, National Renewable Energy Laboratory

NREL

Tech. support email: ftlb_analysis@nrel.gov



Hannah M. Alt

Renewable Resources and Enabling Sciences Center, National R...

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wgqjerovk5/v1>

Protocol Citation: Hannah M. Alt, David G. Brandner, Gregg T. Beckham, Kelsey J. Ramirez 2023. Lignin Reductive Catalytic Fractionation (RCF) Monomers Analysis by Gas Chromatography Flame Ionization Detection (GC-FID). **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wgqjerovk5/v1>

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: February 07, 2023

Last Modified: August 21, 2023

Protocol Integer ID: 76618

Keywords: Reductive Catalytic Fractionation, Gas Chromatography, lignin reductive catalytic fractionation, monomers analysis by gas chromatography flame ionization detection, reductive catalytic fractionation, gas chromatography with flame ionization detection, gas chromatography flame ionization detection, flame ionization detection, gas chromatography, monomers analysis

Funders Acknowledgements:

U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO)

Grant ID: DE-AC36-08GO28308

Abstract

A gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC-FID) method was developed to quantify reductive catalytic fractionation (RCF) monomers.

Guidelines

NOTICE


This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government.


Materials

Woody RCF Standards


 Phenol


 Guaiacol


 4-Ethylphenol


 4-Methylguaiacol


 4-Ethylguaiacol

 Syringol


 4-Propylguaiacol


 Isoeugenol


 Methylparaben

 4-Ethylsyringol


 4-Propylsyringol


 4-Propanolguaiacol

 Propenylsyringol


 4-Propanolsyringol

Non-Woody RCF Standards

 Methyl-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionate

 Methyl-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl) propionate

 Methylcoumarate

 Methylferulate

Internal Standard

 Tri-tert-butylbenzene

Reagents

 Methanol P212121 Catalog #PA-33900HPLCCS4L

Equipment

Equipment

Gas Chromatograph

8890 GC System

Agilent

8890 GC System

<https://www.agilent.com/en/product/gas-chromatography/gc-systems/8890-gc-system>^{LINK}

NAME

TYPE

BRAND

SKU

Equipment

HP5-MS

GC Column

Agilent

19091S-433UI

<https://www.agilent.com/store/productDetail.jsp?catalogId=19091S-433UI>^{LINK}

NAME

TYPE

BRAND

SKU



Equipment

Inlet Liner

NAME

Agilent

BRAND

5183-4711

SKU

<https://www.agilent.com/store/productDetail.jsp?catalogId=5183-4711>^{LINK}

Inlet liner, split, single taper, glass wool, deactivated

SPECIFICATIONS

Troubleshooting

Safety warnings

- ⚠ All chemicals used for this procedure are hazardous. Read the Safety Data Sheet (SDS) for all chemicals and follow all applicable chemical handling and waste disposal procedures. Manufacturer specific SDS information can be found by following the CAS numbers of compounds in 'Materials' list.

Before start

All solvents, analytes, and chemicals used in this protocol are listed in the 'Materials' section. They are excluded from in-line referencing to keep steps clear and concise.



Internal Standard Preparation

- 1 This analysis uses 1,3,5-tri-tert-butylbenzene (TTB) as an internal standard. Prepare adequate volume to allow for addition of 1 μL of TTB per 100 μL of sample or standard volume.
- 2 Create a 10,000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ internal standard working solution by weight of TTB using methanol as the diluent.

Preparation of Standards

- 3 By weight, create individual 20,000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ stock solutions of all monomers (listed in Materials section) and use methanol as the diluent.

Note

If compounds have not previously been analyzed on the column specified in this method, it is necessary to analyze each monomer separately (at a concentration of approximately 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) to determine retention time for each before analyzing a mixture containing all compounds.

- 4 Combine the stock solutions to create a 1000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ mixed standard working solution in methanol.
- 4.1 For example, to prepare a 10 mL mixed standard working solution of woody RCF analytes (distinguished in Materials section), add 500 μL of each of the 20,000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ stock solutions (14 analytes) and add 3000 μL methanol.
- 5 Using the mixed standard working solution at 1000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, create a calibration curve from 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ to 1000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ with a minimum of five calibration points using methanol as the diluent.
- 6 Add 1 μL of 10,000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ TTB internal standard working solution per every 100 μL of calibration standard.
Example: 10 μL into 1000 μL or 5 μL into 500 μL
- 6.1



Calibration Level	Concentration (µg/mL)	Volume of Mixed Standard Working Solution (µL)	Volume of Methanol (µL)	Volume of Internal Standard (µL)
9	1000	1000	0	10
8	750	750	250	10
7	500	500	500	10
6	250	250	750	10
5	100	100	900	10
4	75	75	925	10
3	50	50	950	10
2	25	25	975	10
1	10	10	990	10

Example Calibration

Sample Preparation

7 Oil Samples:

1. Weigh approximately 5-10 mg of oil into a GC vial and record weight.
2. Add a known volume of methanol to each vial containing sample (for example 1 mL).
3. Add 1 µL of 10,000 µg/mL TTB for every 100 µL of methanol added to the sample vial.
Example: 10 µL into 1000 µL or 5 µL into 500 µL

Liquid Samples:

1. Aliquot a known volume of sample into a GC vial (for example 1 mL)
2. Add 1 µL of 10,000 µg/mL TTB for every 100 µL of methanol added to the sample vial.
Example: 10 µL into 1000 µL or 5 µL into 500 µL

GC-FID Analysis

- 8 Analyze samples using an 8890 Agilent Gas Chromatograph (GC) or equivalent equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) per the method parameters below:

8.1 Method Parameters

Split/Splitless Inlet Parameters:

Inlet Temperature: 280 °C

Injection Volume: 1 µL

Split Ratio: 2:1

Inlet Liner: split, single taper, glass wool, deactivated (see Materials)

Syringe: P/N 5181-8809

Wash Solvent: Methanol

*Column:*

HP5-MS (see Materials)

Carrier Gas: Helium

Flow Rate: 1 mL/min (constant flow)

Oven Parameters:

Maximum Oven Temperature: 280 °C

	Rate °C/min	Value °C	Hold Time min	Run Time min
Initial		70	2	2
Ramp	10	280	2	25

Detector Parameters:

Detector: FID

Detector Temperature: 300 °C

Air Flow: 400 mL/min

H2 Fuel Flow: 40 mL/min

Makeup Flow: 10 mL/min

Analytical Quality Control

- 9 Several strategies are utilized when performing this analysis to ensure instrument stability and reproducibility.

9.1 Calibration Curves

All compounds must have a correlation coefficient (r^2) of 0.995 or greater using a linear calibration fit.

9.2 Calibration Verification Standards (CVS)

A calibration verification standard (CVS) is a standard from the calibration curve that is re-analyzed every 20 or fewer samples to ensure instrument drift remains within the determined acceptance criteria. Acceptable CVS recoveries for this analysis are within



15% of the expected amount. Acceptance criteria may differ between instruments and should be determined experimentally.