MAY 03, 2019

OPEN ACCESS

DOI:
[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.ysgfwbw](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.ysgfwbw)

External link:
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215921>

Protocol Citation: Changsheng Nie, Liping Ban, Zhonghua Ning, Lujiang Qu 2019. Feather colour affect aggressive behaviour of chickens with same genotype on dominant white (I) locus. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.ysgfwbw>

MANUSCRIPT CITATION:
 References 1. Craig JV (1978) Aggressive behavior of chickens: Some effects of social and physical environments. 2. Pagel M, Dawkins MS (1997) Peck orders and group size in laying hens: 'Futures contracts' for non-aggression. Behavioural Processes 40: 13-25. 3. Väisänen J, Håkansson J, Jensen P (2005) Social interactions in Red Junglefowl (*Gallus gallus*) and White Leghorn layers in stable groups and after re-grouping. British Poultry Science 46: 156-168. 4. Grams V, Wellmann R, Preuß S, Grashorn MA, Kjaer JB, et al. (2015) Genetic parameters and signatures of selection in two divergent laying hen lines selected for feather position.

Feather colour affect aggressive behaviour of chickens with same genotype on dominant white (I) locus

PLOS One

Changsheng Nie¹, Liping Ban², Zhonghua Ning¹, Lujiang Qu¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, National Engineering Laboratory for Animal Breeding, College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China;

²College of grassland science and technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

Changsheng Nie

ABSTRACT

Aggression in chickens is a serious economic and welfare issue. Pigmentation traits have been well documented to be associated with animal behaviour. Previous studies have shown that chicken pecking behaviour is related to the feather colour and premelanosome protein 17 (PMEL17) is one of the candidate genes. In the present study, we performed a genotypic and phenotypic association analysis between chicken plumage colour (red and white) and aggressive behaviour in an F1 hybrid group, generated by crossing an autosome dominant white feather breed, White Leghorn (WL), and a red feathered breed, Rhode Island Red (RIR). In genetic theory, the progeny should all have white feathers since the WL are homozygous autosome dominant white. However, we found a few red-feathered female chickens. We compared aggressiveness between the red and white females to determine whether the feather colour alone affected the behaviour since the genetic background should be the same except for the feather colour. The aggressiveness was recorded 5 days after sex maturity at 26 weeks. Generally, white plumage hens showed significantly higher aggressiveness than the red ones did in chase, attack, peck, and threatening behaviour. We also detected three feather colour candidate genes, PMEL17, solute carrier family 45 member 2 (SLC45A2), and SRY-box 10 (SOX10) in determining the genetic foundation for the red and white feather colour in our hybrids population and there was no association between the three loci and the feather colour. Additional studies should be conducted to elucidate further the genetic or phenotypic mechanisms underlying the feather colours and the behaviour.

selected for feather pecking behaviour. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 47: 77. 5.

Bennewitz J, Bögelein S, Stratz P, Rodehutschord M, Piepho HP, et al. (2014) Genetic parameters for feather pecking and aggressive behavior in a large F2-cross of laying hens using generalized linear mixed models. *Poultry Science* 93: 810-817. 6.

Rodenburg TB, Buitenhuis AJ, Ask B, Uitende Haag KA, Koene P, et al. (2003) Heritability of feather pecking and open-field response of laying hens at two different ages. *Poultry Science* 82: 861. 7.

Kjaer JB, Sorensen P, Su G (2001) Divergent selection on feather pecking behaviour in laying hens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 71: 229-239. 8.

Su G, Kjaer JB, Sorensen P (2005) Variance components and selection response for feather-pecking behavior in laying hens. *Poultry Science* 84: 14-21. 9.

Mohammed HH, Grashorn MA, Bessei W (2010) The effects of lighting conditions on the behaviour of laying hens. *Archiv Fur Geflugelkunde* 74: 197-202. 10.

Pettit-Riley R, Estevez I, Russek-Cohen E (2002) Effects of crowding and access to perches on aggressive behaviour in broilers. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 79: 11-25. 11.

Estevez I, Newberry RC, Keeling LJ (2002) Dynamics of aggression in the domestic fowl. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 76: 307-325. 12.

Anderson KE, Adams AW (1991) Effects of type of cage front and feed trough partitions on productivity and ingestive, agonistic, and fearful behaviors of egg-type hens. *Poultry Science* 70: 770-775. 13.

Ventura BA, Frank S, Inma E (2012) Access to Barrier Perches Improves Behavior Repertoire in Broilers. *Plos One* 7: e29826. 14.

D'Eath RB, Keeling LJ (2003) Social discrimination and aggression by laying hens in large groups: from peck orders to social tolerance. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 84: 197-

212. 15. Siegel PB, Dudley DS (1963) Comb Type, Behavior and Body Weight in Chickens. *Poultry Science* 42: 516-522.

16. Crawford DR, Smyth RJ (1964) Social and Sexual Behavior as Related to Plumage Pattern in the Fayoumi Fowl. *Poultry Science* 43: 1193.

17. Karlsson AC, Kerje S, Andersson L, Jensen P (2010) Genotype at the PMEL17 locus affects social and explorative behaviour in chickens. *British Poultry Science* 51: 170-177.

18. Lutz V, Stratz P, Preuss S, Tetens J, Grashorn MA, et al. (2017) A genome-wide association study in a large F2-cross of laying hens reveals novel genomic regions associated with feather pecking and aggressive pecking behavior. *Genet Sel Evol* 49: 18.

19. Li Z, Zheng M, Abdalla BA, Zhang Z, Xu Z, et al. (2016) Genome-wide association study of aggressive behaviour in chicken. *Sci Rep* 6: 30981.

20. Guo X, Fang Q, Ma C, Zhou B, Wan Y, et al. (2016) Whole-genome resequencing of Xishuangbanna fighting chicken to identify signatures of selection. *Genet Sel Evol* 48: 62.

21. Fang M, Larson G, Ribeiro HS, Li N, Andersson L (2009) Contrasting Mode of Evolution at a Coat Color Locus in Wild and Domestic Pigs. *Plos Genetics* 5: e1000341.

22. Trut LN (1999) Early Canid Domestication: The Farm-Fox Experiment. *American Scientist* 87: 160-169.

23. Cottle CA, Price EO (1987) Effects of the nonagouti pelage-color allele on the behavior of captive wild Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 101: 390.

24. Hayssen V (1997) Effects of the nonagouti coat-color allele on behavior of deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*): a comparison with Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 111: 419-423.

25. Keeler C, Moore T (1961) Psychosomatic synthesis of behavior trends in the taming of mink 26

the turning of mind. 28.
 Keeling L, Andersson L, Schutz
 KE, Kerje S, Fredriksson R, et
 al. (2009) Feather-pecking
 and victim pigmentation.
 Nature 431: 645-646. 27.
 Kerje S, Sharma P,
 Gunnarsson U, Kim H, Bagchi
 S, et al. (2004) The Dominant
 white, Dun and Smoky color
 variants in chicken are
 associated with
 insertion/deletion
 polymorphisms in the PMEL17
 gene. Genetics 168: 1507-
 1518. 28. Gunnarsson U,
 Kerje S, Bed'hom B, Sahlqvist
 AS, Ekwall O, et al. (2011)
 The Dark brown plumage color
 in chickens is caused by an
 8.3-kb deletion upstream of
 SOX10. Pigment Cell
 Melanoma Res 24: 268-274.
 29. Inc S (2011) IBM SPSS
 Statistics for Windows,
 Version 20.0. 30. Klungland H,
 Vage DI (2003) Pigmentary
 switches in domestic animal
 species. Annals of the New
 York Academy of Sciences
 994: 331-338. 31. Sharma S,
 Wagh S, Govindarajan R
 (2002) Melanosomal proteins-
 role in melanin
 polymerization. Pigment Cell
 Research 15: 127-133. 32.
 Cieslak M, Reissmann M,
 Hofreiter M, Ludwig A (2011)
 Colours of domestication. Biol
 Rev Camb Philos Soc 86: 885-
 899. 33. van Hierden YM,
 Koolhaas JM, Kost'al L, Vyboh
 P, Sedlackova M, et al. (2005)
 Chicks from a high and low
 feather pecking line of laying
 hens differ in apomorphine
 sensitivity. Physiology &
 Behavior 84: 471-477. 34.
 van Hierden YM, Korte SM,
 Ruesink EW, van Reenen CG,
 Engel B, et al. (2002)
 Adrenocortical reactivity and
 central serotonin and
 dopamine turnover in young
 chicks from a high and low
 feather-pecking line of laying
 hens. Physiology & Behavior
 75: 653-659. 35. Dennis RL,
 Chen ZQ, Cheng HW (2008)
 Serotonergic mediation of
 aggression in high and low
 aggressive chicken strains.
 Poultry Science 87: 612-620.
 36. Kjaer JB, Hjarvard BM
 (2004) Effects of haloperidol,
 a dopamine D2 receptor
 antagonist, on feather pecking

behaviour in laying hens. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 86: 77-91. 37. Flisikowski K, Schwarzenbacher H, Wysocki M, Weigend S, Preisinger R, et al. (2009) Variation in neighbouring genes of the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems affects feather pecking behaviour of laying hens. *Animal Genetics* 40: 192-199. 38. Persson ML, Wasserman D, Jönsson EG, Bergman H, Terenius L, et al. (2000) Search for the influence of the tyrosine hydroxylase (TCAT) n repeat polymorphism on personality traits. *Psychiatry Research* 95: 1-8. 39. Tielbeek JJ, Medland SE, Benjamin B, Byrne EM, Heath AC, et al. (2012) Unraveling the genetic etiology of adult antisocial behavior: a genome-wide association study. 7: e45086. 40. Hoyer SC, Eckart A, Herrel A, Zars T, Fischer SA, et al. (2008) Octopamine in male aggression of *Drosophila*. *Current Biology* 18: 159-167. 41. Gunnarsson U, Hellstrom AR, Tixier-Boichard M, Minvielle F, Bed'horn B, et al. (2007) Mutations in SLC45A2 cause plumage color variation in chicken and Japanese quail. *Genetics* 175: 867-877. 42. Hamshire ML, Langley K, Martin J, Agha SS, Stergiakouli E, et al. (2013) High loading of polygenic risk for ADHD in children with comorbid aggression. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 170: 909-916. 43. Gyurak A, Haase CM, Sze J, Goodkind MS, Coppola G, et al. (2013) The effect of the serotonin transporter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) on empathic and self-conscious emotional reactivity. *Emotion* 13: 25-35. 44. Aslund C, Comasco E, Nordquist N, Leppert J, Orelund L, et al. (2013) Self-reported family socioeconomic status, the 5-HTTLPR genotype, and delinquent behavior in a community-based adolescent population. *Aggressive Behavior* 39: 52. 45. Conway CC, Keenanmiller D, Hammen C, Lind PA, Najman JM, et al. (2012) Coaction of Stress and Serotonin Transporter

Genotype in Predicting Aggression at the Transition to Adulthood. Journal of clinical child and adolescent psychology : the official journal for the Society of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, American Psychological Association, Division 53 41: 53. 46. Beitchman JH, Baldassarra L, Mik H, De LV, King N, et al. (2006) Serotonin transporter polymorphisms and persistent, pervasive childhood aggression. American Journal of Psychiatry 163: 1103. 47. Beaver KM, Wright JP, Walsh A (2008) A gene-based evolutionary explanation for the association between criminal involvement and number of sex partners. Biodemography & Social Biology 54: 47.

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

Created: Mar 03, 2019

Last Modified: May 03, 2019

PROTOCOL integer ID: 21032

Keywords: aggressive behaviour, feather colour, chicken, genetics, PMEL17

Animals and Behavioural tests

- 1 The chickens used were the offspring of a cross between RIR (Rhode Island Red /male) and WL (White Leghorn /female).

- 2 WL's dominant white plumage and RIR's sex-linked recessive red feather were genetically determined by PMEL17 on an autosome (denoted as I) and SLC45A2 on a Z chromosome (denoted as Zs), respectively. It is well known that the genotypes of the WL of the two loci are $IIZSZS/IIZSW$ and for the RIR are $iiZsZs/is$ for male/female.
- 3 Since white feathers in WL are autosomal dominant homozygous (II) and the daughters or sons of WL generally have white plumage (I-). However, when we crossed the female WL (II) and male RIR (ZsZs), some exceptions were observed with a few daughters ($liZsW$) that presented with red feathers or red-coloured heads, although most of the daughters ($liZsW$) were white. The genetic basement underlying these exceptions remains to be identified.
- 4 The eggs from the cross between WL males and RIR females were hatched at the same time, and we collected red- and white-feathered female chickens since all the males were white.
- 5 we crossed male RIR and female WL to obtain the F1 hybrids and 150 red-feathered daughters, and 150 white-feathered daughters were randomly selected for our experiments. All the hens were examined in further behavioural tests.

- 6 We reared the selected females in the same chicken house where the lighting, temperature, and ventilation were completely artificially controlled. The temperature was maintained at 23°C with ventilation fans and cooling pads. The chickens were housed in six pens with a plastic net ground (4.30 m long × 4.00 m width × 2.00 m high, 1.00 m above the floor). The pens were equipped with automatic drinkers, and clean water (fresh) was available ad libitum to the birds. The chickens were fed manually with standard commercial chicken feed. In addition, the birds were exposed to a 13.5L: 10.5D photoperiod (from 7:00 to 20:30h) with a light power of 5W (26 week).

- 7 Red- and white-hens were separately reared in chick cages (~30 chickens /cage) from 1-115 days and transferred to adult chicken cages (3 chickens /cage) after 115 days. At 20 weeks old, the chickens were transferred to the pens and were divided into six groups of 50 birds each. Moreover, we have been weighted all birds at 20 and 25 weeks age.
- 8 Groups 1 and 2 were replicates for the red feather hens, while groups 3 and 4 were the same and each contained 25 red and 25 white hens. Group 5 and 6 were replicates for the white hens.

- 9 All the birds were allowed to adapt to the new conditions for 5 weeks. After the adaptation period, aggressive behaviours were recorded using a monitor from day 177 to 181 (26 week), since the

females were sexually matured and tended to be more aggressive at this time than before they were sexually matured.

- 10 Behaviours were counted between 12:00 and 12:30 by one person using the same standard (30mins* 5 days* 6pens, Figure 1a). Two main behavioural features, B1 and B2, were recorded in this study. B1 consisted of the chase and attack, while B2 was the peck and threat behaviours (Table 1).

Table 1. Two aggressive behaviour phenotypes in female chickens demonstrated in this study.

Abbreviation	Categories	Behaviour Description [3]
B1	Chase	Bird follows another, both birds run, jump, or fly.
	Attack	Bird jumps, flies, runs, or takes fast steps when approaching another bird to give it an aggressive peck; birds stand or walk > 1 m away from each other.
B2	Peck	Bird rapidly pecks the anterior part of another bird.
	Threat	Stiff body posture towards another bird, the birds stands < 0.25 m from each other. Head positioned above or below the receiver's head. Feathers may be lifted.

- 11 These behaviours were counted separately (attacker or victim) for the red and white featherStiff

body posture towards another bird, the birds stands < 0.25 m from each other. Head positioned above or below the receiver's head. Feathers may be lifted. hens in the blended group (groups 3 and 4).

Genotyping candidate genes for feather colour

- 12 we selected loci 3 as the gene for genotyping and association analysis. Since we already knew the genotype of loci 2 including dominant white (I) and sex-linked recessivered feather (Zs), we picked the SRY-box 10 (SOX10) gene for genotyping. The SOX10 is responsible for the dark brown(DB) phenotype and was causal by an 3 kb deletion upstream of the transcription start site.
- 13 A total of 60 females were selected, consisting of 29 and 31 with white and red feathers, respectively from the F1 population. Wing vein blood was obtained from red and white feathered hens (without anesthetic). Genomic DNA was extracted using standard phenol/chloroform protocols.
- 14 The polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed using an Applied Biosystems Veriti 96 Well thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems) according to manufacturer's protocol. [The polymorph of the 8.3 kb deletion upstream of SOX10 gene was amplified using PCR with the 1 forward \(1F, 5'-CCTTTGTCTTAAGGCTCCTCTTT-3'\) and 1 reverse \(1R, 5'-CCTTGTGGAGACCAGGTGTT-3'\), and 6R \(5'-TGCTGAGACATTTGCTGACA-3'\) primers from Gunnarsson et al.](#) Fragments of 611 (1F/1R) and 1257 bp (1F/6R) associated with the wild-type (*db/db*) and dark brown (*DB/DB*) alleles, respectively were displayed using an agarose gel.