

Oct 20, 2025

Evaluation of Total Knee Arthroplasty with Robotic-Assisted Technology (HUPE/UERJ)

DOI

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvodwd9g4o/v1>

Fabício Bolpato Loures¹, Guilherme Morgado Runco¹, João enrique Costa Reis¹, Gabriel Guimarães Barbosa¹, Vander Telles Padrão¹, Adalto Ferreira Lima Júnior¹, André uiz de Campos Pessoa¹, Nathália undin¹, Liszt Palmeira de Oliveira¹

¹Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ)

Liszt Palmeira de Oliveira: Head of Orthopedic Surgery



Fabício Bolpato Loures

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ)

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvodwd9g4o/v1>

Protocol Citation: Fabício Bolpato Loures, Guilherme Morgado Runco, João enrique Costa Reis, Gabriel Guimarães Barbosa, Vander Telles Padrão, Adalto Ferreira Lima Júnior, André uiz de Campos Pessoa, Nathália undin, Liszt Palmeira de Oliveira 2025. Evaluation of Total Knee Arthroplasty with Robotic-Assisted Technology (HUPE/UERJ). **protocols.io**

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvodwd9g4o/v1>

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: In development

We are still developing and optimizing this protocol

Created: October 20, 2025

Last Modified: October 20, 2025

Protocol Integer ID: 230216

Keywords: knee, osteoarthritis, arthroplasty , robotic-assisted surgery, evaluation of total knee arthroplasty, outcomes of total knee arthroplasty, total knee arthroplasty, assisted arthroplasty, arthroplasty at hupe, standardized preoperative planning, robotic assistance, using robotic assistance, first institutional implementation of robotic, standardized preoperative planning with ct imaging, robotic, reduced postoperative pain, assisted technology, postoperative follow, better functional recovery, blind clinical study, tka, postoperative pain, validated functional score

Abstract

This protocol describes a prospective, randomized, double-blind clinical study designed to evaluate the outcomes of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) performed with and without robotic-assisted technology (Mako SmartRobotics® , Stryker) at HUPE/UERJ. It includes standardized preoperative planning with CT imaging, structured data collection using validated functional scores (EVA, KSS, and FJS-12), and a six-month postoperative follow-up.

The expected results are improved precision in component positioning, reduced postoperative pain, and better functional recovery and patient satisfaction when using robotic assistance. This is the first institutional implementation of robotic-assisted arthroplasty at HUPE/UERJ and establishes the methodological foundation for future comparative and multicenter studies.

Attachments



[Protocolo_Coleta_Dad...](#)

191KB

Guidelines

- This protocol must be conducted strictly under the approval of the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (HUPE/UERJ). All participants must sign a written informed consent (TCLE) before inclusion.
- The study involves human subjects undergoing total knee arthroplasty (TKA) and therefore must comply with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013 revision) and Resolution 466/12 of the Brazilian National Health Council (CNS).
- Only qualified orthopedic surgeons trained in robotic-assisted knee arthroplasty (Mako SmartRobotics, Stryker) are authorized to perform the surgical procedure.
- The preoperative CT scan follows the official Mako Knee CT Scanning Protocol (Stryker, 2022). Radiation exposure is minimal but must be justified and performed according to radiological protection standards.
- Preoperative assessment must include ASA, Ahlbäck, and Kellgren–Lawrence classifications, medical history, and identification of comorbidities that may interfere with anesthesia or rehabilitation.
- All clinical data and images should be anonymized prior to analysis. Patient identification codes must be stored securely in restricted-access systems.
- Adverse events, postoperative complications, or any protocol deviations must be reported to the Ethics Committee within the timeframe established by institutional policy.
- The robotic system and surgical tools must be calibrated and checked before each procedure according to the manufacturer's safety instructions.
- The data collection and analysis must follow Good Clinical Practice (GCP) standards and ensure confidentiality, integrity, and reproducibility of results.

Materials

1. Robotic and Surgical Equipment

- Mako SmartRobotics® System (Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI, USA) — robotic arm and console
- Standard Total Knee Arthroplasty Instrument Set (Stryker® Triathlon system or equivalent)
- Robotic-compatible cutting guides and trackers
- Calibration and registration tools for Mako system
- Sterile surgical drapes and disposable covers for robotic equipment
- Power system and console verification tools

2. Imaging and Planning

- Computed Tomography (CT) scanner capable of following the *Mako Knee CT Scanning Protocol* (Stryker, 2022)
- Planning software integrated with Mako SmartRobotic workstation
- Digital radiography system (RaizX Digital, IRICs) for postoperative alignment evaluation

3. Clinical and Functional Assessment

- Patient Data Collection Form (standardized for this protocol)
- EVA (Visual Analog Scale for pain) — printed or electronic form
- KSS (Knee Society Score) — validated Portuguese version (Silva ALPE et al., 2017)
- FJS-12 (Forgotten Joint Score) — validated Portuguese version (Ferreira MC et al., 2018)
- Goniometer for joint range of motion measurement
- Standard radiographic ruler or alignment gauge

4. Patient Care and Monitoring

- Hospital bed and physiotherapy equipment for early mobilization
- Standard anesthesia and monitoring equipment
- Sterile dressing materials and postoperative care supplies
- Pain management medications as per institutional protocol

5. Data Analysis and Documentation

- Computer with IBM SPSS Statistics v29.0
- Excel or equivalent spreadsheet software for data entry
- Secure data storage (password-protected folder or encrypted cloud drive)
- Institutional Ethics documentation (HUPE/UERJ CEP approval and TCLE forms)



Before start

Before starting this protocol, ensure that:

1. Ethics approval has been obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitário Pedro Ernesto (HUPE/UERJ).
2. Written informed consent (TCLE) has been signed by each participant.
3. The robotic system (Mako SmartRobotics, Stryker) and all surgical instruments are properly calibrated, sterilized, and verified according to manufacturer guidelines.
4. The preoperative CT scan has been performed following the official Mako Knee CT Scanning Protocol (Stryker, 2022) and the data are correctly imported into the planning software.
5. The operative team (orthopedic surgeon, anesthesiologist, nursing staff, and radiology technician) has been briefed on the study protocol and their assigned roles.
6. All data collection sheets, including the Patient Data Collection Form and Functional Assessment Protocols (EVA, KSS, FJS-12), are available and pre-labeled with anonymized patient codes.
7. The surgical room and robotic console are configured for safe operation, including power supply check, emergency stop verification, and availability of a backup conventional instrument set.

Protocol references

Courtney, P. M., Whitaker, C. M., Gutsche, J. T., Hume, E. L., & Lee, G. C. 2014. Predictors of the Need for Critical Care After Total Joint Arthroplasty: An Update of Our Institutional Risk Stratification Model. *J Arthroplasty* . 2014, Vol. 29, 7.

David J Hunter, Sita Bierma-Zeinstra. 2019. Osteoarthritis. *Lancet* 2019, Vol. 27, 393.

Evan M Schwechter, Wolfgang Fitz. 2012.

Design rationale for customized TKA: a new idea or revisiting the past? *Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine* . 2012, Vol. 5, 4.

Fabício Bolpato Loures, Rogério Franco de Araújo Góes, Caio Veloso Gusmão, Rodrigo Sattamini Pires e Albuquerque, Pedro José Labronici. 2021. Epidemiological and Clinical Profile of Patients Undergoing Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia* . 2021, Vol. 57, 2.

Fabício Bolpato Loures, Rogério Franco de Araújo Góes, Pedro José Labronici , João Maurício Barretto, Beni Olej. 2016. Evaluation of body mass index as a prognostic factor in osteoarthrosis of the knee. 2016, Vol. 26, 51.

Fabício Bolpato Loures, Rogério Franco de Araújo Góes, Eduardo Branco de Sousa, Naasson Cavanellas, João Maurício Barretto, Marcel Jun Sugawara Tamaoki, Rodrigo Sattamini Pires e Albuquerque, Pedro José Labronici. 2020. Intraoperative morphometric study of distal femur in Brazilian patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *Plos One* . 2020, Vol. 15, 5.

G1. 2021. A fila da dor: pacientes do Inco relatam expectativas frustradas à espera de operações que nunca chegam. G1. [Online] Globo.com, 13 de 07 de 2021. [Citado em: 08 de 09 de 2022.] <https://g1.globo.com/rj/rio-de-janeiro/noticia/2021/07/13/a-fila-da-dor-pacientes-do-incorelatam-expectativas-frustradas-a-espera-de-operacoes-que-nunca-chegam.ghtml>.

Gilde, A. K., Downes, K. L., Leverett, S., & Miranda, M. A. 2021. Routine Postoperative Complete Blood Counts Are Not Necessary After Most Primary Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasties. *J Arthroplasty* . 2021, Vol. 36, 4.

Ibsen Bellini Coimbra, Pérola Grinberg Plapler, Gustavo Constantino de Campos. 2019 . Generating evidence and understanding the treatment of osteoarthritis in Brazil: a study through Delphi methodology. *Clinics* . 2019 , Vol. 13, 74.

Klausing, A., Martini, M., Wimmer, M. D., Gravius, S., Wirtz, D. C., & Randau, T. M. 2019 . Postoperative Medical Complications and Intermediate Care Unit/Intensive Care Unit Admission in Joint Replacement Surgery: A Prospective Risk Model. . *J Arthroplasty* . 2019 , Vol. 29, 7.

Kristian Bjorgul, Wendy M Novicoff, Khaled J Saleh. 2010. Evaluating comorbidities in total hip and knee arthroplasty: available instruments. *J Orthop Traumatol* . 2010, Vol. 11, 4.

Matthew Sloan, Ajay Premkumar, Neil P. Sheth. 2018. Projected Volume of Primary Total Joint Arthroplasty in the U.S., 2014 to 2030. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* . 2018, Vol. 100.

MichaelA. Mayer, Kevin Pirruccio, Matthew Sloan, Neil P. Sheth. 2019. Discharge Home is Associated With Decreased Early Complications Following Primary Total Joint Arthroplasty. *Journal of Arthroplasty* . 2019, Vol. 34.

Nguyen LCL, Lehil MS, Bozic KJ. 2015 .Trends in total knee arthroplasty implant utilization. *Journal of Arthroplasty* . 2015 , Vol. 30, 5.

Previdência, Ministério do Trabalho e. 2019.

Ministério do Trabalho e Previdência. gov.br.[Online] 2019.[Citado em: 13 de março de 2021.] <http://www.previdencia.gov.br/dadosabertos/estatsticas/tabelas-cid-10/>.

Scott, W. Norman. 2017. *Insall & Scott Surgery of the Knee: Expert Consult*. New york : Elsevier, 2017. 978-0323400466.

DeFrance MJ, Scuderi, GR. Are 20% of PatientsActually Dissatisfied Following Total Knee Arthroplasty? A Systematic Review ofthe Literature. *J Arthroplasty*. 2023 Mar;38(3):594-599. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2022.10.011. Epub 2022 Oct 14.

Loures FB, Queiroz GM, Rosa DL, Runco GM, de Oliveira LP, Gameiro VS. RISK STRATIFICATION PROTOCOL FOR PERFORMING TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY. *Acta Ortop Bras*. 2025 Aug 18;33(3):e287197. doi: 10.1590/1413-785220253303e287197.

Postler A, Lützner C, Beyer F, Tille E, Lützner J. Analysis of Total Knee Arthroplasty revision causes. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2018 Feb 14;19(1):55. doi: 10.1186/s12891-018-1977-y.

Kayani B, Haddad FS. Robotic total knee arthroplasty: clinical outcomes and directions for future research. *Bone Joint Res*. 2019 Nov 2;8(10):438-442. doi: 10.1302/2046-3758.810.BJR-2019-0175. PMID: 31728181

Zhang H, Bai X, Wang H, Zhu Z, Li X. Learning curve analysis of robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty with a Chinese surgical system. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2023 Nov 27;18(1):900. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-04382-4. PMID: 38012732; P

Hampp EL, Chughtai M, Scholl LY, Sodhi N, Bhowmik-Stoker M, Jacofsky DJ, Mont MA. Robotic-Arm Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty Demonstrated Greater Accuracy and Precision to Plan Compared with Manual Techniques. *J Knee Surg.* 2019 Mar;32(3):239-250. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1641729. Epub 2018 May 1.

Scholl LY, Hampp EL, Caba M, Azhar A, Hameed D, Dubin J, Crutcher JP, Mont MA, Mahoney OM. Robotic-assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty Technology Provides a Repeatable and Reproducible Method of Assessing Soft Tissue Balance. *J Knee Surg.* 2024 Jul;37(8):607-611. doi: 10.1055/a-2232-7511. Epub 2023 Dec 19.

Ferreira MC, Silva G, Zidan FF, Franciozi CE, Luzo MVM, Abdalla RJ. Forgotten Joint Score - Portuguese translation and cultural adaptation of the instrument of evaluation for hip and knee arthroplasties. *Rev Bras Ortop.* 2018 Feb 22;53(2):221-225. doi: 10.1016/j.rboe.2018.02.006.

Silva ALPE, Croci AT, Gobbi RG, Hinckel BB, Pecora JR, Demange MK. Translation and validation of the new version of the Knee Society Score - The 2011 KS Score - into Brazilian Portuguese. *Rev Bras Ortop.* 2017 Jul 6;52(4):506-510. doi: 10.1016/j.rboe.2016.08.020.

Kreuz PC.; Stefani C; Daehnen G.; Niemeyer P; Götz J; Südkamp NP. The Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) assessed after total knee arthroplasty correlates with functional outcome scores. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, v. 20, n. 9, p. 1943-1949, 2012.

Stryker Corporation. *Mako Knee CT Scanning Protocol: Preoperative CT Acquisition for Total Knee Arthroplasty Planning*. Kalamazoo:

Stryker, 2022. Disponível em: <https://www.stryker.com/us/en/portfolios/orthopaedics/mako-smartrobotics.html>.

[Acesso em: 19 out. 2025].