



Oct 05, 2024

Evaluation of Micro-Tensile Bond Strength to Dentin, Failure mode, and Fluoride release of GDMA-Based Versus HEMA-Based Universal Adhesives after Aging: An in vitro study

DOI

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.81wgbz32ygpk/v1>

Aya Ibrahim tarek¹

¹Cairo university



Aya Ibrahim

Cairo university

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.81wgbz32ygpk/v1>

Protocol Citation: Aya Ibrahim tarek 2024. Evaluation of Micro-Tensile Bond Strength to Dentin, Failure mode, and Fluoride release of GDMA-Based Versus HEMA-Based Universal Adhesives after Aging: An in vitro study. **protocols.io**

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.81wgbz32ygpk/v1>

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **[Creative Commons Attribution License](#)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: In development

We are still developing and optimizing this protocol

Created: October 04, 2024

Last Modified: October 05, 2024

Protocol Integer ID: 109157

Keywords: HEMA, Hydrophilic, Universal adhesive, GDMA based, clearfill quick, universal adhesives after aging, dentin interface, based universal adhesive, elemental analysis of resin, universal adhesive, bonding failure, fluoride release of gdma, base bonding agent vs hema, dentin, resin, used adhesive, free human molar, extracted cary, base bonding agent, using gdma, evaluation of micro, gdma, using conventional hema, tensile load

Abstract

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the micro-tensile bond strength to dentin, failure mode, and fluoride release of GDMA-base bonding agent vs HEMA-based universal adhesive after aging. A total of 50 freshly extracted caries-free human molars will be used in this study. The specimens will be divided into 2 groups according to the used adhesive. Group (A1) refers to the intervention group using GDMA based universal adhesive, while Group (A2) refers to control group using conventional HEMA-universal adhesive. The specimens of all groups will be subjected to 10,000 thermo-cycles as an aging period. For micro-tensile bond strength test, the beams will be mounted onto the universal testing machine and tensile load was applied, until bonding failure of the specimen occurred. After μ -TBS testing, failure mode will be analyzed. Elemental analysis of resin-dentin interface will be assessed using energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis

Materials

- a) GDMA based Universal Adhesive. (CLEARFIL Universal Bond Quick, Kurary, Japan)
- b) Conventional HEMA-Universal adhesive (Single Bond Universal Adhesive, 3M ESPE Deutschland GmbH, Germany)
- c) resin composite.

Samples, interventions and outcomes

- 1 Sample size calculation
- 2 Sample preparation
total of 50 freshly extracted caries-free human molars will be used in this study. The occlusal enamel will be removed at 90° to the long axis of the tooth by means of a model trimmer under running water to obtain mid-coronal dentin. The dentin surfaces will be prepared with 600-grit SiC paper to create a standardized smear layer.
- 3 Grouping of samples:
The specimens will be divided into 2 groups according to the used adhesive. Group (A1) refers to the intervention group using GDMA based universal adhesive, while Group (A2) refers to control group using conventional HEMA-universal adhesive. The specimens of all groups will be subjected to 10,000 thermo-cycles as an aging period.
- 4 Intervention application for micro-tensile bond strength test
For micro-tensile bond strength test, a total of 24 sound human permanent molars will be randomly divided between the experimental groups. The tested adhesives will be applied according to the manufacturer's instructions. After light curing of the adhesives, the reduced occlusal surface will be built with 3 mm layer by layer using resin composite restoration and light cured to facilitate longitudinal sectioning of the restored teeth.
- 5 Failure mode analysis
After μ -TBS testing, each specimen will be photographed using USB Digital microscope with a built-in camera (Scope Capture Digital Microscope, Guangdong, China) connected to an IBM compatible computer using a fixed magnification of 65x. A digital image analysis system (Image J 1.43U, National Institute of Health, USA) will be used to evaluate the failure mode. Failure modes will be classified as one of three types: adhesive (failures occurred exclusively at adhesive interfaces), cohesive (failures occurred exclusively within dentin or the resin composite and mixed (adhesive and cohesive failures of the adjacent substrates). The percentage of the failure modes will be calculated

Assignment of interventions

- 6 Randomization
Randomization will be generated using (www.randomization.com).
- 7 Allocation concealment mechanism



A checklist will be designed to identify each material specimen. A series of sequentially numbered opaque envelopes will be used for each group.

8 Implementation

A participant who has the checklist and the envelopes will allocate the randomly selected specimens into the envelopes to prevent bias.

Statistical methods

- 9 Continuous data will be described using mean and standard deviation. Intergroup comparison between continuous variables will be performed using independent t test and intragroup comparison will be done using repeated measures ANOVA followed by tukey's post hoc test. Categorical data will be described as frequency and percentage. Intergroup comparison between categorical data will be performed using chi square test and intragroup comparison will be performed using Cochran's Q test followed by multiple comparisons. A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 will be considered statistically significant and all tests will be two tailed. Statistical power of the study will be set at 80 % with 95 % confidence level.

Protocol references

- Armstrong S, Breschi L, Ozcan M, Pfefferkorn F, Ferrari M, Van Meerbeek B (2017) Academy of Dental Materials guidance on in vitro testing of dental composite bonding effectiveness to dentin/enamel using micro-tensile bond strength (μ TBS) approach. *D. Mater* 33(2):133–143.
- Alsaadawi, A., Felemban, O., Nassar, H. M., & Abdelbaki, M. (2023). Shear Bond Strength and Fluoride Release of a Universal Adhesive: An In-Vitro Study on Primary Teeth. *Materials*, 16(7).
- Bakry, A. S., & Abbassy, M. A. (2021). Application Modes Affect Two Universal Adhesive Systems' Nanoleakage Expression and Shear Bond Strength. *BioMed Research International*, 2021, 1–8.
- Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; De Oliveira da Rosa, W.L.; Lund, R.G.; da Silva, A.F.; Piva, E. (2019) Bonding Performance of Universal Adhesives: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J. Adhes. Dent.* , 21, 7–26
- Dörfer, C. E., Staehle, H. J., Wurst, M. W., Duschner, H., & Pioch, T. (2000). The nanoleakage phenomenon: influence of different dentin bonding agents, thermocycling and etching time. *EU. JOS*, 108(4), 346–351.
- Dziuk, Y., Chhatwani, S., Möhlhenrich, S. C., Tulka, S., Naumova, E. A., & Danesh, G. (2021). Fluoride release from two types of fluoride-containing orthodontic adhesives: Conventional versus resin-modified glass ionomer cements—An in vitro study. *PLOS J* 26.54.
- Elhoshy, A., & Aboelenein, K. (2018). Microtensile bond strength of self-etching adhesives to enamel. *Tanta D.J.*, 15(3), 192.
- Francci .C, Deaton .T.G, Arnold .R.R, Swift .E.J, Perdigao .J and J.W. Bawden (1999). Fluoride Release from Restorative Materials and Its Effects on Dentin Demineralization. *Journal of Dental Research*, 78(10), 1647–1654.
- Hanabusa, M., Mine, A., Kuboki, T., Momoi, Y., Van Ende, A., Van Meerbeek, B., & De Munck, J. (2012). Bonding effectiveness of a new 'multi-mode' adhesive to enamel and dentine. *J. Dentistry*, 40(6), 475–484.
- Huang XQ, Pucci CR, Luo T, Breschi L, Pashley DH, Niu LN, Tay FR (2017) No-waiting dentine self-etch concept-Merit or hype. *J Dent* 62:54
- Kuno, Y., Hosaka, K., Nakajima, M., Ikeda, M., Klein, C. A., Foxton, R. M., & Tagami, J. (2019). Incorporation of a hydrophilic amide monomer into a one-step self-etch adhesive to increase dentin bond strength: Effect of application time. *Dental Materials Journal*, 38(6), 892–899.
- Makishi, P., André, C. B., Ayres, A. P. A., Martins, A. L., & Giannini, M. (2016). Effect of storage time on bond strength and nanoleakage expression of universal adhesives bonded to dentin and etched enamel. *Operative Dentistry*, 41(3), 305–317.
- 16
- Peumans, M., Vandormael, S., De Coster, I., De Munck, J., Bart, /, & Meerbeek, V. (2023). Three-year Clinical Performance of a Universal Adhesive in Non-Carious Cervical Lesions. *J Adhes Dent*, 25, 133–146.
- Peumans M, De Munck J, Mine A, Van Meerbeek B (2014) Clinical effectiveness of contemporary adhesives for the restoration of non-caries cervical lesions. A systematic review. *D. Mater* 30(10):1089–1103.
- Sato M, Miyazaki M. (2005) Comparison of depth of dentin etching and resin infiltration with single-step adhesive systems. *J Dent*. 33:475–484.
- Seitoku, E., Hoshika, S., Ikeda, T., Abe, S., Tanaka, T., & Sano, H. (2020). Bonding performance of a hydrophilic amide monomer containing adhesive to occlusal and cervical dentin. *Materials*, 13(21), 1–9.
- Scholz KJ, Federlin M, Hiller KA, Ebensberger H, Ferstl G, Buchalla W (2019) EDX-analysis of fluoride precipitation on human enamel. *Sci Rep* 17;9(1):13442.
- Santander-Rengifo, F., Carreras-Presas, C. M., Aroste-Andía, R., Hernández-Huamaní, E., Gavilán-Chávez, P., Cervantes-Ganoza, L., & Cayo-Rojas, C. (2024). Microtensile Bond Strength and Failure Mode of Different



Universal Adhesives on Human Dentin. *International Dental Journal*, 0, 1–9.

Tjaderhane L, Nascimento FD, Breschi L, Mazzoni A, Tersariol IL, Geraldeli S, Tezvergil-Mutluay A, Carrilho MR, Carvalho RM, Tay FR, Pashley DH (2013) Optimizing dentin bond durability: control of collagen degradation by matrix metalloproteinases and cysteine cathepsins. *D. Mater* 29(1):116–135.

Teixeira GS, Pereira GKR, Susin AH (2021). Aging methods-an evaluation of their influence on bond strength. *Eur J Dent*,15(3):448–453.

Van Landuyt K, Snauwaert J, Peumans M, De Munck J, Lambrechts P, Van Meerbeek B (2008) The role of HEMA in one-step self-etch adhesives. *D. Mater* 24(10):1412–1419.

Weerasinghe, D., Nikaido, T., Ichinose, S., Waidyasekara, K. G. P., & Tagami, J. (2007). Scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray analysis of self-etching adhesive systems to ground and unground enamel. *Journal M.S* (3) 25:33.

Yoshida Y, Yoshihara K, Hayakawa S, Nagaoka N, Okihara T, Matsumoto T, Minagi S, Osaka A, Van Landuyt K, Van Meerbeek B (2012) HEMA inhibits interfacial nano-layering of the functional monomer MDP. *J Dent Res* 91(11):1060–1065.