



Sep 16, 2020

Early versus delayed feeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis (protocol)

DOI

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmejk3cn>

Jun Watanabe¹, Joji Watanabe², Kazuhiko Kotani³

¹Department of Surgery, Division of Gastroenterological, General and Transplant Surgery, Jichi Medical University, Shimotsuke-City, Tochigi, Japan;

²Department of Surgery, Iwami hospital, Iwami-tyou, Japan;

³Division of Community and Family Medicine, Jichi Medical University, Shimotsuke-City, Japan.



Jun Watanabe

Department of Surgery, Division of Gastroenterological, Gene...

Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmejk3cn>

Protocol Citation: Jun Watanabe, Joji Watanabe, Kazuhiko Kotani 2020. Early versus delayed feeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis (protocol). **protocols.io**

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmejk3cn>

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: September 16, 2020

Last Modified: September 16, 2020

Protocol Integer ID: 42155

Keywords: diet, endoscopic submucosal dissection, fasting, meta-analysis, systematic review, stomach neoplasms, patient satisfaction, quality of life, feeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection, endoscopic submucosal dissection, feeding after esd, systematic review, delayed feeding, cochrane central register of controlled trial, quality of care, cochrane central register, controlled trial, grading of recommendations assessment, rated satisfaction, quality assessment

Abstract

Background: Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is increasingly performed worldwide due to its safety and effectiveness. This study will aim to assess the evidence of early versus delayed feeding after ESD on quality of care, which remains to be fully determined.

Methods: Electronic database (PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE) and the trial registry (the World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Platform Search Portal and ClinicalTrials.gov) will be searched. Study selection, data abstraction and quality assessment will be independently performed using Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach. Self-rated satisfaction and hospital stay will be chiefly analyzed.

Attachments



[Protocol_Early versu...](#)

175KB

1