

Sep 01, 2023

# **©** DNA extraction from recently fertilised Atlantic salmon embryos for use in microsatellite validation of triploidy

PLOS One

✓ Peer-reviewed method

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kqdg3×93pg25/v1

Callum Howard<sup>1</sup>, John B. Taggart<sup>1</sup>, Caroline R. Bradley<sup>2</sup>, Alejandro P. Gutierrez<sup>1</sup>, John F. Taylor<sup>1</sup>, Paulo A. Prodöhl<sup>2</sup>, Herve Migaud<sup>1</sup>, Michaël Bekaert<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, United Kingdom;

<sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom

Callum Howard: Current Address: AquaBioTech Group, Mosta, Malta;

John F. Taylor: Current Address: AquaMaof Aquaculture Technologies Ltd., Rosh Ha'ayin, Israel

Herve Migaud: Current Address: Mowi Scotland, Glen Nevis Business Park, Fort William, United Kingdom

Michael Bekaert: michael.bekaert@stir.ac.uk

PLOS ONE Lab Protocols
Tech. support email: plosone@plos.org



Michaël Bekaert

# Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account

OPEN ACCESS





DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kqdg3x93pg25/v1

External link: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292319

**Protocol Citation:** Callum Howard, John B. Taggart, Caroline R. Bradley, Alejandro P. Gutierrez, John F. Taylor, Paulo A. Prodöhl, Herve Migaud, Michaël Bekaert 2023. DNA extraction from recently fertilised Atlantic salmon embryos for use in microsatellite validation of triploidy. **protocols.io** <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kqdg3x93pg25/v1">https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kqdg3x93pg25/v1</a>

#### **Manuscript citation:**

Howard C, Taggart JB, Bradley CR, Gutierrez AP, Taylor JF, et al. (2023) DNA extraction from recently fertilised Atlantic salmon embryos for use in microsatellite validation of triploidy. PLOS ONE 18(10): e0292319. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292319

**License:** This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: August 08, 2023

Last Modified: September 01, 2023

Protocol Integer ID: 86092

**Keywords:** DNA extraction, DNA quality and quantity assessments, Microsatellites validation assessment, Egg, Tiploid, Salmon, dna from atlantic salmon embryo, triploidy validation through microsatellite, atlantic salmon embryos for use, producing triploid atlantic salmon, atlantic salmon embryo, triploid atlantic salmon, microsatellite validation of triploidy, fertilised atlantic salmon embryo, dna extraction, extracting dna, microsatellite validation, triploidy validation, conjunction with microsatellite validation, fertilised egg, microsatellite, batch of triploid, dna, performance of triploid, incubating egg, eggs for use, protocol for hotshot extraction, convenient alternative to traditional flow cytometry, later egg, triploid, hotshot extraction, traditional flow cytometry, triploidy

#### Funders Acknowledgements:

University of Stirling and AquaGen Scotland Ltd, PhD match funding scheme UKRI

Grant ID: BB/S004432/1



#### Abstract

The current methods used for producing triploid Atlantic salmon are generally reliable but not infallible, and each batch of triploids must be validated to ensure consumer trust and licensing compliance. Microsatellites have recently been shown to offer a cheaper and more convenient alternative to traditional flow cytometry for triploidy validation in a commercial setting. However, incubating eggs to at least the eyed stage for microsatellite validation poses challenges, such as reduced quality and performance of triploids produced from later eggs in the stripping season. To address these issues, we propose another option: extracting DNA from recently fertilised eggs for use in conjunction with microsatellite validation. To achieve this, we have developed an optimized protocol for HotSHOT extraction that can rapidly and cheaply extract DNA from Atlantic salmon embryos, which can then be used for triploidy validation through microsatellites. Our approach offers a simpler and more cost-effective way to validate triploidy, without the need for skilled dissection or expensive kits.



#### **Materials**

#### **Consumables**

Low throughput:

■ 1.5 mL Screw cap tube

High throughput:

- 96-well Clear Round Bottom 2 mL Polypropylene Deep Well Plate
- 96-well Deep well plate seals

#### Reagents

- NaOH
- EDTA
- Tris-HCI 5 mM pH 8
- Tris-HCl dry
- TAE buffer
- MyTaq HS mix (Bioline, USA)
- Loading dye (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK)
- WellRED size standard (Eurofins, Germany)
- Gel electrophoresis reagents
- 100% ethanol
- ddH<sub>2</sub>O

#### **Lab Equipment**

- Forceps
- Beakers
- Heat block or laboratory oven
- Centrifuge (capable of 20,000 g)
- Gel electrophoresis machine
- PCR machine

#### **Reagent preparation**

For 200 mL each alkaline lysis reagent and neutralisation buffer (enough for 500 samples).

Alkaline Lysis Reagent

Reagent Final conc. Amount for 200 mL

NaOH 25 mM 200 mg EDTA 0.2 mM 14.88 mg

Add ddH2O for final volume of 200 mL. pH will be 12.

Neutralisation Buffer



Reagent Final conc. Amount for 200 mL

Tris-HCl 40 mM 1.3 g

Add ddH2O for final volume of 200 mL. pH will be 5.

# Troubleshooting



#### **DNA** extraction

50m 30s

- 1 If eggs stored in ethanol, remove using forceps and place on clean tissue to remove excess ethanol.

15m

- Remove the eggs and remove excess liquid with clean tissue.
- For low throughput needs the eggs can then be placed into individual 1.5 mL screw cap tubes, for high throughput needs the eggs can be places, one per well, into a 2 mL deep 96-well plate.
- 5 Pierce the chorion by applying pressure using the end of the forceps.

#### Note

Between eggs, the forceps must be wiped clean before being sterilised using 100% ethanol and  $\rm ddH_2O.$ 

Add  $\perp$  400  $\mu$ L alkaline lysis buffer to each tube/well and seal.



Invert 5 times, and placed into either a heat block or a laboratory oven running at  $90 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 00:30:00.



8 Remove and place S On ice for 00:05:00.



9 Unseal and add an equal amount (  $400 \, \mu L$  ) of neutralisation buffer.



10 Reseal and rapidly invert 10 times and then spin down briefly using a centrifuge.

Y



11 Spin down for \$\mathbb{\omega}\$ 14000 rpm, 00:00:30 (or 20,000 g).

30s



12 Collect the middle layer of the solution.

#### Note

The bottom layer contains the egg and solid contaminants, while the top layer contains lipid contaminants.

The DNA (middle layer) can now be used instantly, stored at 4 °C for up to a week, or stored at 4 -18 °C for use later on.

### DNA quality and quantity assessments

8m 10s

14

#### Note

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the DNA extraction process and usability of the extracted DNA, a combination of PCR followed by gel electrophoresis and qPCR can be used. A fragment of the Malic Enzyme 2 gene (exon 3; 472 bp) was amplified using primers previously designed and validated [1]. This gene was selected due to its well-established availability and its size being within the range of the microsatellites of interest.

Mix  $\Delta$  0.5  $\mu$ L of sample DNA (middle layer),  $\Delta$  3  $\mu$ L MyTaq HS mix (Bioline, USA), [M] 0.6 picomolar (pM) of each primer (  $\Delta$  0.12  $\mu$ L ) and  $\Delta$  2.26  $\mu$ L ultrapure water in PCR tube or plate (  $\Delta$  10  $\mu$ L total).

15 Perform PCR at the appropriate thermal cycle for gene of interest.



15.1 In this case, 38 cycles of

44m 20s

\$\bigsep\$ 95 °C for \bigsep\$ 00:00:15 ,

\$\bigsep\$ 60 °C for \bigsep\$ 00:00:15 and

\$\bigsep\$ 72 °C for \bigsep\$ 00:00:40 .

Load  $\Delta$  2.5  $\mu$ L of the PCR product into a 1.25% agarose gel with  $\Delta$  5  $\mu$ L of 1.5× loading dye (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK) in 0.5× TAE buffer.



Migrate the gel with ethidium bromide and visualised under UV in a transilluminator for the quality of bands and the presence of smear or primer dimer.

18



#### Note

The qPCR reactions were run on a QTower 3 (Analytik Jena, Germany) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions:

Perform qPCR starting by \$\mathbb{g} \ 95 \ \cdot \ \text{for } \ \cdot 00:03:00 \ \text{followed by the appropriate thermal cycle for gene of interest.}





19.1 In this case, 40 cycles of



**\$** 72 °C for **♦** 00:00:30 .



# Microsatellites validation assessment

20



#### Note

A qualitative assessment of the strength of the band was used to determine the amount of PCR product to be added to the capillary electrophoresis (between 0.5  $\mu$ L and 1  $\mu$ L).

Mix required quantity of PCR product with  $\Delta$  30  $\mu$ L of sample loading solution (SLS), and  $\Delta$  0.35  $\mu$ L of size standard (WellRED size standard, Eurofins, Germany) and add to well of capillary electrophoresis plate.

Top each well off with one drop of mineral oil.



22 Run capillary electrophoresis machine (Beckman Coulter CEQ 8000, Beckman Coulter, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## **Protocol references**

#### References

1. Taggart JB, Leaver MJ, Bekaert M. DNA polymorphism underlying allozyme variation at a malic enzyme locus (mMEP2\*) in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Journal of Fish Biology. 2022;101(5):1371–1374. doi:10.1111/jfb.15182.