

Mar 07, 2020

# Diagnostic accuracy of a radiographic device to assess cranial tibial translation in dogs: validation protocol

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bdczi2×6

Adolfo Maria Tambella<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Martin<sup>1</sup>, Luca Omini<sup>2</sup>, Cecilia Vullo<sup>1</sup>, Anna Rita Attili<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Camerino, School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Matelica (MC), Italy; <sup>2</sup>Clinica Veterinaria Omini, Chiaravalle (AN), Italy



#### Adolfo Maria Tambella

University of Camerino

## Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account





DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bdczi2x6

External link: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621

Protocol Citation: Adolfo Maria Tambella, Stefano Martin, Luca Omini, Cecilia Vullo, Anna Rita Attili 2020. Diagnostic accuracy of a radiographic device to assess cranial tibial translation in dogs: validation protocol. protocols.io

https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bdczi2x6



#### Manuscript citation:

Tambella AM, Omini L, Attili AR, Vullo C, Martin S (2020) Evaluation of cranial tibial translation in dogs: Diagnostic accuracy of radiographic method using a simple device. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0228621. (ISSN: 1932-6203) (DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228621) https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: March 07, 2020

Last Modified: March 07, 2020

Protocol Integer ID: 33913

Keywords: cranial tibial translation in dog, evaluation of cranial tibial translation, cranial tibial translation, diagnostic accuracy of radiographic method, diagnostic accuracy, radiographic method, radiographic device, validation protocol

#### Abstract

This protocol describes a validation procedure to assess the diagnostic accuracy of a radiographic method using a simple device, specifically designed to quantify the cranial tibial translation in dogs.

This protocol was used in the following publication:

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621

Tambella AM, Omini L, Attili AR, Vullo C, Martin S (2020) Evaluation of cranial tibial translation in dogs: Diagnostic accuracy of radiographic method using a simple device. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0228621. (ISSN: 1932-6203) (DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228621)

### **Troubleshooting**



### 1 OBJECTIVE

This study was designed to objectively quantify the in vivo cranial canine stifle translation using a radiolucent translator device keeping fixed the joint angle during the thrust. The hypothesis was that changes in cranial cruciate ligament (CrCL) integrity would result in detectable changes in tibial translation. If the hypothesis is confirmed, the validated radiographic method could be included in evaluation protocols of orthopaedic studies, potentially representing a concrete help for researchers and clinicians in the specific area.

### 2 ANIMAL RECRUITMENT

Recruit dogs with naturally occurring, unilateral, complete CrCL rupture and dogs with intact CrCL.

#### 3 UNIT OF ANALYSIS

Consider the single stifle as unit of analysis.

#### 4 ALLOCATION IN GROUPS

Allocate population in three group of stifles:

- -canine stifles with intact CrCL (healthy, Group HE);
- -canine stifles with naturally occurring, unilateral, complete CrCL rupture (pathological, Group PA);
- -contralateral stifles of affected dogs (contralateral, Group CO).
- 5 MEASUREMENT OF THE NORMALIZED TIBIAL TRANSLATION ( $\Delta N$ ) Calculate normalized tibial translation ( $\Delta N$ ) using a special radiographic translator device.

#### 6 IDENTIFICATION OF CUT-OFF VALUES

Identificate of  $\Delta N$  cut-off between PA and clinically healthy stifles: the sensitivity is privileged identifying all the pathologic stifles avoiding false negatives. Identificate of the  $\Delta N$  cut-off between HE and CO groups: an equal weight is given to sensitivity and specificity, obtaining the cut-off using receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) methodology.

- 7 CALCULATION OF OUTCOME PARAMETERS OF DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY
  Compare data from the three groups calculating sensitivity, specificity, positive and
  negative predictive values, accuracy and ROC analysis with measurement of area under
  the ROC curve (AUC).
- 8 EVALUATE THRESHOLD AND PREDICTIVITY OF  $\Delta N$  ON GROUPS Use generalized linear mixed models (GLMM), with binomial distribution family and logit link, to evaluate thresholds and predictivity of  $\Delta N$  on groups, considering the "group" as fixed factor and the "ID of the dog" as random factor. Build the ROC curves using the predictions of the GLMMs.



- 9 QUANTIFY THE DIFFERENCES OF AN ON THE THREE GROUPS Use a linear mixed model (LMM) fitted considering "group" and "DJD" as fixed factors and the "ID of the dog" as random factor to quantify the differences of  $\Delta N$  on the three groups. Perform a post-hoc multiple comparisons of means with Tukey contrasts test.
- 10 **EVALUATE POTENTIAL WITHIN-DOG ASSOCIATION** Use a linear model with "time" since CrCL rupture and "DJD" (degenerative joint disease) as predictors, together with their interaction, to evaluate potential within-dog association between joint instability and chronicity or the presence of DJD. Obtain significance of variables with family-wise confidence interval estimation.

#### 11 REFERENCE

This protocol was validated in the following article:

Tambella AM, Omini L, Attili AR, Vullo C, Martin S (2020) Evaluation of cranial tibial translation in dogs: Diagnostic accuracy of radiographic method using a simple device. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0228621. (ISSN: 1932-6203) (DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228621) https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621