

Apr 13, 2020

## Diagnosis and treatment of plasmablastic lymphoma, plasmablastic myeloma and plasmablastic neoplasm: protocol for a scoping review

DOI

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.beyzjfx6](https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.beyzjfx6)

Hiroataka Mori<sup>1</sup>, Masahiko Fukatsu<sup>1</sup>, Hiroshi Ohkawara<sup>1</sup>, Yuka Oka<sup>2</sup>, Yuki Kataoka<sup>3</sup>, Shunsuke Taito<sup>4</sup>, Takayuki Ikezoe<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Diagnostic Pathology, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, 960-1295, Japan;

<sup>3</sup>Hospital Care Research Unit, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General Medical Center, Higashinaniwa-cho 2-17-77, Amagasaki 660-8550 JAPAN. Department of Healthcare Epidemiology, Graduate School of Medicine and Public Health, Kyoto University, Yoshida Konoe-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501 Japan. Systematic Review Workshop Peer Support Group (SRWS-PSG), Japan. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General Medical Center, Higashinaniwa-cho 2-17-77, Amagasaki 660-8550 Japan.;

<sup>4</sup>Systematic Review Workshop Peer Support Group (SRWS-PSG), Japan. Division of Rehabilitation, Department of Clinical Practice and Support, Hiroshima University Hospital, Kasumi 1-2-3, Minami-ku, Hiroshima, 734-8551 Japan;

<sup>5</sup>Department of Hematology, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, 960-1295, Japan



**Hiroataka Mori**

Department of Hematology, Fukushima Medical University, Fuku...

### Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account



**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.beyzjfx6>

**Protocol Citation:** Hirotaka Mori, Masahiko Fukatsu, Hiroshi Ohkawara, Yuka Oka, Yuki Kataoka, Shunsuke Taito, Takayuki Ikezoe 2020. Diagnosis and treatment of plasmablastic lymphoma, plasmablastic myeloma and plasmablastic neoplasm: protocol for a scoping review. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.beyzjfx6>

**License:** This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

**Protocol status:** Working

**We use this protocol and it's working**

**Created:** April 13, 2020

**Last Modified:** April 13, 2020

**Protocol Integer ID:** 35577

**Keywords:** plasmablastic lymphoma, plasmablastic myeloma, plasmablastic neoplasm, scoping review, differential diagnosis, treatment, diagnosis of plasmablastic neoplasm, plasmablastic myeloma, form plasmablastic myeloma, plasmablastic neoplasm, treatment of plasmablastic lymphoma, plasmablastic lymphoma, scopingreview of different diagnosis, treatment of pbl, scoping review protocol, treatment for pbl, scoping review methodology, different diagnosis, scoping review, diagnosis, diagnosis dilemma, reproducibility of differential diagnosis, scoping review introduction, differential diagnosis, evidence on the differential diagnosis, review introduction the differential diagnosis, systematic review, preferred reporting items for systematic review,

## Abstract

### Introduction

The differential diagnosis of plasmablastic lymphoma (PBL) from plasmablastic myeloma (PBM) is sometime very difficult. In such cases, the diagnosis of plasmablastic neoplasm (PBN) is acceptable. But clinicians face the question whether chemotherapies for PBL, PBM or others are effective for PBN and the question increases the diagnosis dilemma. Although evidence on the differential diagnosis and treatment of those three entities is compiling, there is no scopingreview of different diagnosis and treatment for PBL, PBM, and PBN to answer those questions. The aim of this study is to develop an a priori protocol to undertake a scoping review of the available evidence on the reproducibility of differential diagnosis and the treatment of PBL, PBM, and PBN.

### Methods and analysis

For the conducting the scoping review protocol, we will use an scoping review methodology established in the Joanna Briggs Institute manual. This methodology has a five-stage approach: (1) identify the research question; (2) identify relevant studies; (3) select studies; (4) chart the data and (5) collating, summarize and report the results. We also will use the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews to assess the results.

### Ethics and dissemination

Since this is a review of the literature, ethics approval is not indicated. We will disseminate the findings from this study in publications in peer-reviewed journals.

## Attachments



[protocol for scoping...](#)

400KB

## Troubleshooting

