

Jul 27, 2020

## **6** AMOVA AND PHILOGENY IN SARS-COV- 2 PROTOCOLS

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bixjkfkn

Pierre PhD Teodosio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LaBECom



#### Pierre PhD Teodosio

LaBECom, UNIVISA

### Create & collaborate more with a free account

Edit and publish protocols, collaborate in communities, share insights through comments, and track progress with run records.

Create free account





DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bixjkfkn

Protocol Citation: Pierre PhD Teodosio 2020. AMOVA AND PHILOGENY IN SARS-COV- 2 PROTOCOLS. protocols.io https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bixjkfkn

License: This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working

We use this protocol and it's working

Created: July 23, 2020



Last Modified: July 27, 2020

Protocol Integer ID: 39627

Keywords: philogeny in sar, sar, amova

# Troubleshooting



## **METHODOLOGY**

- 1 1.METHODOLOGY
- 2 **DATABANK**
- 3 Opt;line-height:150%;font-family:"Arial",sans-serif;mso-ansi-language:EN-US'>: The 153 gene sequences of theintegrase gene of human immunodeficiency virus 1 were collected from GENBANK (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/popset/?term=MN888087.1 and participate in a PopSet dipped by Totmenin and collaborators on March 25, 2020 (Popset:1822236350).
- 4 PHYLOGENETICS ANALYSES: For phylogenetic analyses, the previously described nucleotide sequences were used. The sequences were aligned using the MEGA X program (TAMURA et al., 2018) and gaps were extracted for the construction of phylogenetic trees.
- 5 GENETIC STRUCTURING ANALYSES: Paired FST estimators were obtained with the software Arlequin v. 3.5 (EXCOFFIER et al., 2005) using 1000 random permutations. The FST matrix generated by the software was used in the construction of a dendrogram based on the UPGMA distance method with the MEGA X software (TAMURA et al., 2018) and the FST and geographic distance matrices were not compared.